

Witness: Howard Teig

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION

ENBRIDGE ENERGY COMPANY,)	
INC. AND ENBRIDGE MIDCOAST)	
ENERGY, L.P. f/k/a ENBRIDGE)	
MIDCOAST ENERGY, INC. f/k/a)	
MIDCOAST ENERGY RESOURCES,)	
INC.,)	
Plaintiffs)	
)	
vs.)	CASE NO. H-06-0657
)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
Defendant.)	

ORAL DEPOSITION

HOWARD TEIG

May 25, 2007

ORAL DEPOSITION OF HOWARD TEIG, produced as a witness at the instance of the Defendant and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause on the 25th day of May, 2007, from 10:00 a.m. to 3:10 p.m., before Kelly Hanna, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas, reported by computerized stenotype machine at the offices of Vinson & Elkins LLP, First City Tower, 1001 Fannin Street, Suite 2300, Houston, Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the provisions stated on the record or attached hereto.

HUNDT REPORTING
214-220-1122

Witness: Howard Teig

Page 2

Page 4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

APPEARANCES

FOR ENBRIDGE ENERGY COMPANY, INC. AND
ENBRIDGE MIDCOAST ENERGY, L.P.:

Mr. Karl S. Stern
Vinson & Elkins LLP
1001 Fannin Street, Suite 2300
Houston, Texas 77002-6760
Telephone: 713.758.4780
Fax: 713.615.5258
E-mail: kstern@velaw.com

FOR DEFENDANT:

Mr. David B. Coffin
Mr. Herb Linder
United States Department of Justice
Tax Division
717 N. Harwood, Suite 400
Dallas, Texas 75201
Telephone: 214.880.9749
Fax: 214.880.9721

FOR THE WITNESS:

Mr. James M. Lynch
Winston & Strawn
35 W. Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60601
Telephone: 312.558.5935
Fax: 312.558.5700
E-mail: jlynch@winston.com

ALSO PRESENT:

Kelly Hanna, CSR, RPR, CRR, CMRS
Ms. Jana Jordan, Enbridge Energy Company, Inc.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HOWARD TEIG,

having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) Please state your name for the
record.

A. Howard Teig, T-E-I-G.

Q. And, Mr. Teig, I understand you've given your
deposition several times before; is that correct?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And I just wanted to go over some of the
ground rules before we get started. I'll ask the
questions. I ask that you answer truthfully and
honestly and to the best of your ability. I'll try not
to interrupt you, if you'll try not to interrupt me so
the court reporter can get a good clean record. If you
don't understand a question, just ask me to restate it;
and I would be happy to. If you need to take any
breaks, just ask me. Your lawyer or Mr. Stern may
object to some of the questions I ask; and if that
happens, I would ask you to go ahead and answer unless
Mr. Lynch advises you not to answer the question. Do
you understand those ground rules?

A. Yes. Can I ask one question?

Q. Sure.

A. If I want to, can I ask for an interruption to

Page 3

Page 5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

INDEX

PAGE

HOWARD TEIG

Examination by Mr. Coffin 4

Examination by Mr. Stern 129

Further Examination by Mr. Coffin 144

Signature Page 151

Court Reporter's Certificate 153

EXHIBITS

EXHIBITDESCRIPTIONPAGE

No. 1Fax Cover Sheet dated 8/17/00 134

(Teig to
Morelli)(KPLB-0578-580)

No. 2Fax dated 9/8/00 (Dill to 137

Teig)(ENB006081-083)

No. 3String of e-mails (DOJ034441) 140

No. 4Fax Cover Sheet dated 8/15/00 142

(Teig to Forster)(ENB006098)

No. 52000 U.S. Corporation Income 147

Tax Return (DOJ014972-15007)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ask a question of my attorney outside?

Q. Sure. Yes, you may.

What is your current business address,

sir?

A. 410 Jericho, J-E-R-I-C-H-O, Turnpike, Suite

320, Jericho, New York 11753.

Q. And is that your residential address as well?

A. No.

Q. What is your residential address?

A. 15 Montgomery Place, M-O-N-T-G-O-M-E-R-Y,

Jericho, New York 11753.

Q. Mr. Teig, I sent Mr. Lynch some documents for

you to look at prior to this deposition. Have you

received and reviewed those documents?

A. Yes.

Q. Have you reviewed anything else to prepare for

this deposition?

A. Yes.

Q. What else did you review?

A. I received another set of documents last night

that I reviewed. I'm not sure who they came from.

MR. LYNCH: Came from Vinson & Elkins.

Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) Mr. Stern? Okay.

A. And I also had read my deposition on the same

matter from 2003.

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

HUNDT REPORTING
214-220-1122

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 6</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. A deposition or an interview with the 2 IRS? 3 A. I'm not sure of the distinction. 4 Q. Was it on the K-Pipe matter? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. All right. Have you talked to anybody 7 other than Mr. Lynch about your testimony today? 8 A. No. 9 Q. Okay. Give me your education. 10 A. Although -- excuse me. 11 Q. Go ahead. 12 A. Although I've mentioned that I'm down here for 13 a deposition. 14 Q. Okay. How about your -- give me your 15 educational background beginning with high school, 16 please. 17 A. I graduated in 1969 from Stamford High School 18 in Connecticut, S-T-A-M-F-O-R-D. I then graduated in 19 1973 from the University of Connecticut with a Bachelor 20 of Science with a major in accounting. I graduated some 21 years later, 1980 about, from Long Island University, 22 C.W. Post Center where I received a Master's of Science 23 with a major in taxation. I also have passed the CPA 24 exam sometime in the -- I think it was 1979. 25 Q. Do you have any other professional</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 8</p> <p>1 Connecticut in 1973? 2 A. Starting in June of '73 I worked for an 3 accounting firm in West Hartford, Connecticut. Name was 4 Cohen, Rosenfeld & Company, C-O-H-E-N R-O-S-E-N-F-E-L-D 5 and Company. They were in West Hartford. I worked 6 there through the fall of '75 in -- I think it was 7 October. 8 In November of '75 I worked for a firm in 9 Great Neck, New York by the name of Silverman, 10 S-I-L-V-E-R-M-A-N, and Mordfin, M-O-R-D-F-I-N. I was 11 there through June of '76 for about a month over the 12 summer, six weeks or a month. 13 I worked for a firm, Kranz & Company. I 14 think it's spelled K-R-A-N-Z & Company. Then in 15 September of '76 I joined a firm, Anchin, Block & 16 Anchin, A-N-C-H-I-N, Block, B-L-O-C-K, & Anchin. I 17 stayed there through October of '79. 18 In November of '79 I joined a firm which 19 is now called BDO Seidman. They had different names 20 before, but it's today known as BDO Seidman, 21 S-E-I-D-M-A-N. I was there through September -- August 22 of 1987. 23 From September of '87 through June 24, 24 1988 I was in partnership with someone. The name of the 25 firm was Steinbach, S-T-E-I-N-B-A-C-H, & Teig. And then</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 7</p> <p>1 accreditations or licenses other than a CPA? 2 A. No. None other than that and, you know, just 3 belonging to the organizations, the federal -- you know, 4 the national and the state organization. 5 Q. You're talking about -- 6 A. One more thing. 7 Q. Sure. 8 A. At one point a few years ago, and I don't know 9 if it's still active, I passed the Series 7 test of -- 10 under the NASD, and I've also passed the Series 28 test, 11 I think it is, for the -- under the NASD rules or the 12 SEC, whichever it is. So, I guess I'm accredited, one, 13 as a FINOP and two, as a -- whatever 7 allows me to do. 14 Q. What is the first one you said, FIN -- 15 A. FINOP. 16 Q. What is that? 17 A. That's the financial person for a broker 18 dealer. 19 Q. All right. Give me your work history since you 20 graduated from Stamford, Connecticut in 1969. 21 A. Including summer times? 22 Q. I'm sorry. That was the high school you went 23 to, right? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. How about from the University of</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9</p> <p>1 starting June 24, 1988 I've been on my own as a sole 2 practitioner. 3 Q. And what's the name of that business? 4 A. Howard B. Teig, CPA. 5 Q. Through the years have you done -- what kind of 6 accounting work -- I assume you've done accounting work; 7 is that right? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Okay. Have you done auditing-type work, 10 financial statement auditing? 11 A. In prior years. Not currently, but in prior 12 years, yes. 13 Q. Okay. And I assume you've done some tax work 14 as well? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Is that tax consulting or tax compliance work? 17 A. Both. 18 Q. Okay. So, you've consulted on transactions and 19 you've prepared tax returns; is that right? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Okay. 22 A. Not in all those years, but within those -- 23 within this overall time period. 24 Q. Sure. Okay. And with Howard B. Teig, CPA, 25 what is the primary source of your revenues? What is</p>

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

HUNDT REPORTING
214-220-1122

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 10</p> <p>1 the service that you perform, primarily?</p> <p>2 A. It's generally tax work and tax returns, tax</p> <p>3 compliance work, paying bills for some people. So,</p> <p>4 write-up services. In the past I did compilation</p> <p>5 reports, and generally that's been it.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. Now, is there any -- with regard to</p> <p>7 Howard B. Teig, CPA, any tax consulting work where you</p> <p>8 advised on tax transactions?</p> <p>9 A. Yes. Although I'd like -- I'm not sure what</p> <p>10 the word "advise" in this case means.</p> <p>11 Q. Well, tell me -- tell me what you think -- what</p> <p>12 kind of -- I'm sorry -- consulting work you've done.</p> <p>13 A. I've been asked to analyze transactions to draw</p> <p>14 conclusions about what, you know, what the transactions</p> <p>15 were about, is there a way at times to structure a</p> <p>16 transaction sometimes differently. I can't remember</p> <p>17 necessarily specific transactions -- specific situations</p> <p>18 of that last part, but I know that I've done that.</p> <p>19 Q. All right. Are you familiar with an entity</p> <p>20 known as Fortrend International, LLC?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Tell me how you're familiar with that entity.</p> <p>23 A. I was their accountant from '96 to '99.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. And what kind of services did you</p> <p>25 perform for Fortrend International, LLC?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 12</p> <p>1 principal, general shareholder of Avanti.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay.</p> <p>3 A. And then he served as -- I guess he had a title</p> <p>4 of managing -- I recall the title of managing director</p> <p>5 of Fortrend.</p> <p>6 Q. So, there was just one other member of Fortrend</p> <p>7 International, LLC, and you don't recall the name of</p> <p>8 that member. Was it a corporation or an individual or</p> <p>9 something else?</p> <p>10 A. I don't recall at this point in time. It was</p> <p>11 an entity that was the member. It was not an individual</p> <p>12 that was the member.</p> <p>13 Q. Did you have any direct or indirect ownership</p> <p>14 in that entity?</p> <p>15 A. No.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. Did you ever have any indirect or direct</p> <p>17 ownership in Fortrend International, LLC?</p> <p>18 A. No.</p> <p>19 Q. What period of time did you provide accounting</p> <p>20 services? You said '96 through '99. Do you recall the</p> <p>21 months?</p> <p>22 A. Well, let me back up a second in answering that</p> <p>23 about the start date. The principal -- the main people</p> <p>24 of Fortrend used to work for another company and they</p> <p>25 started Fortrend probably in the fall of '95 and I did</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 11</p> <p>1 A. Write-up services, general bookkeeping, tax</p> <p>2 return. In addition, I would work on some of their</p> <p>3 transactions doing various tasks, due diligence, looking</p> <p>4 at contracts, analyzing assets that, you know, that they</p> <p>5 may have been acquiring, that they may have had in</p> <p>6 inventory, keeping track of things for them in that</p> <p>7 regard. At times, if necessary, I may have signed</p> <p>8 things such as checks or contracts. I don't -- in one</p> <p>9 scenario that I recall I was named an officer of a</p> <p>10 company, although that was not the general rule. And</p> <p>11 periodically I would go on meetings with them -- with</p> <p>12 the, you know, various people there to discuss potential</p> <p>13 transactions with potential people.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. Now, who were the principals of --</p> <p>15 Fortrend was an LLC; is that correct?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. Who were the members of that entity?</p> <p>18 A. One -- there was a company, as I recall, named</p> <p>19 Avanti, A-V-A-N-T-I, something. I don't remember what</p> <p>20 Avanti's full name was, and the other name was -- I</p> <p>21 forget at this time.</p> <p>22 Q. The other name of?</p> <p>23 A. The other LCC member.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. Was it Jeffrey Furman?</p> <p>25 A. Jeffrey Furman was, as I understand, the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 13</p> <p>1 some work for them in the fall of '95, October,</p> <p>2 approximately, and -- and then there was more work</p> <p>3 started really in February is where it became more</p> <p>4 actively involved on my part.</p> <p>5 Q. February of '96?</p> <p>6 A. February of '96. But there was some work</p> <p>7 starting in October of '95. And I continued doing work</p> <p>8 for them until sometime, to the best of my</p> <p>9 recollection -- to the best of my recollection, the</p> <p>10 summer of 2000.</p> <p>11 Q. And was Fortrend still in existence in the</p> <p>12 summer of 2000?</p> <p>13 A. At that time, yes.</p> <p>14 Q. And you said the people who started Fortrend --</p> <p>15 would -- would Furman, Mr. Furman have been one of them?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. Who else was involved?</p> <p>18 A. Fred Forster, F-O-R-S-T-E-R.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. Do you recall working with an individual</p> <p>20 by the name of Larry Austin?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. Tell me who Larry Austin was.</p> <p>23 A. Larry Austin was an independent person who was</p> <p>24 engaged by Fortrend on a transaction-by-transaction</p> <p>25 basis to serve as the administrator of companies that</p>

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

HUNDT REPORTING
214-220-1122

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 14</p> <p>1 were acquired. 2 Q. When you say "administrator," what do you mean 3 by that? 4 A. To be officer, director, to serve as the 5 officer and directors of the company, you know, to do 6 whatever else on a day-to-day basis was required, sign 7 checks, pay bills, whatever was required on a daily 8 basis. 9 Q. Did you have occasion to work with Mr. Austin 10 on a regular basis? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And those -- and that work would have been with 13 regard to your work for Fortrend; is that correct? 14 A. And the companies that may have been acquired. 15 Q. Do you recall -- when you say companies that 16 may have been a part of Fortrend, do you recall the 17 names of those companies? Or just tell me what -- the 18 names you do recall. 19 A. Well, in answering that, the ownership was not 20 of -- by Fortrend directly. There were other entities 21 that they owned that -- that actually did the 22 acquisition of companies. And it was the same 23 Mr. Foremen and -- Mr. Furman and Mr. Forster. And, so, 24 they were not necessarily Fortrend. You know, it was 25 sort of would fall under the same umbrella, if I can</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 16</p> <p>1 that they may have had, and then to continue preparing 2 tax returns ongoing for any transaction that was 3 acquired, although the services did differ on a 4 transaction-by-transaction basis. I may not have done 5 all of that on every transaction. 6 Q. Generally describe what -- the business -- what 7 business Fortrend was in or what did it do. 8 A. It was -- I think about it as being in the 9 arbitrage. It was in the business of earning an 10 economic profit, cash profit from being able to sell 11 assets at a price which were greater than the cost that 12 Fortrend incurred to buy the assets or, you know, buy 13 the entities that had the assets. 14 Q. Were you familiar with -- I'm going to list 15 some entities and ask if you were familiar with them. 16 Ashfield Investments Limited? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Okay. What was your familiarity with Ashfield 19 Investments? 20 A. That might have -- I'm sorry. Ashfield. 21 MR. LYNCH: Howard, if you're not sure. I 22 mean, answer questions where you know the answer, but I 23 don't want you to speculate or if you are speculating, 24 which I would discourage, state that -- if you're not 25 sure, tell him you're not sure. I just want to make</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 15</p> <p>1 think about it as that viewpoint. 2 Q. Uh-huh. 3 A. They were -- these other companies were under 4 the same umbrella. So, the transactions -- some of them 5 that they acquired was one Ourso, O-U-R-S-O. There was 6 this transaction, K-Pipe. There was -- right now I'm 7 drawing a blank on others, but there were probably 8 somewhere between 10 and 15 other entities, but I 9 just -- oh, another one was Qius, Q-I-U-S. 10 Q. Q-I-U-S? 11 A. Yes. And then there were, as I said, there 12 were other transactions that right this moment I can't 13 think of names; but there were, say, between 10 and 20. 14 Q. Okay. So, with regard to these 10 and 20 other 15 entities, did you perform services on -- for those other 16 entities at the direction of Mr. Furman or at the 17 direction of Fortrend? 18 A. Not necessarily at the direction. More of a 19 general understanding. 20 Q. Okay. Explain what the understanding was. 21 A. I was the CPA. I was -- I understood that I 22 was being asked on a regular basis to provide accounting 23 services in the area of due diligence, tax return 24 preparation, analyzing, you know, the financial 25 statements that companies may have had, the tax returns</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 17</p> <p>1 sure we've got a clear record. 2 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) Was there another entity named 3 Ashfield that you were thinking about that may not have 4 been Ashfield Investments Limited? 5 A. I just know Ashfield. I can't remember -- the 6 Ashfield that I'm thinking of would invest in 7 transactions and would sell its interests or rights to 8 the contract prior to closing. So, it would earn a 9 profit from having basically sold its interest in -- in 10 a potential transaction. 11 Q. Okay. And what kind of transactions were 12 those? You said it would invest in transactions. 13 A. The type that I just described that Fortrend 14 did where it would buy stock of companies and then sell 15 the assets and earn an arbitrage profit. 16 Q. So, Ashfield would invest in those types of 17 transactions? 18 A. They would be part of the buying group that 19 would buy the entity that owned the assets, and they 20 would sell their interests to someone else. 21 Q. Oh, so, it was similar to the K-Pipe Group in 22 this case; is that right? 23 A. I'm not sure what you mean by "the K-Pipe Group 24 in this case." 25 Q. Well, the transaction in this case deals with</p>

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

HUNDT REPORTING
214-220-1122

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18</p> <p>1 K-Pipe Group who bought -- bought stock and sold assets, 2 right? 3 A. Oh, yes. 4 Q. Was Ashfield a similar type of company? 5 A. It would have been one of -- it would have been 6 an investor in a transaction like that that you just 7 described. 8 Q. Okay. So, it's an investor or -- 9 A. An investor. 10 Q. Okay. And who were the principals of Ashfield? 11 A. I don't know. 12 Q. Do you know who the management of Ashfield was? 13 A. It was a company not in the United States. 14 Q. Okay. What's the name of that company? 15 A. I don't know. 16 Q. Did you prepare the tax returns for Ashfield? 17 A. No. 18 Q. How did you become familiar with Ashfield? 19 A. Through Fred Forster. 20 Q. Okay. What did Mr. Forster tell you about it? 21 A. Basically they were to be an investor in this 22 transaction or that transaction. 23 Q. How about Cronulla Corporation, 24 C-R-O-N-U-L-L-A, Corporation? 25 A. Similar fact pattern.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 20</p> <p>1 recall. 2 Q. Are you familiar with JRCCR Corp.? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Okay. What do you know about JRCCR Corp.? 5 A. I had prepared tax returns for it. At some 6 point, you know, in 1988 it owned the company that 7 acquired the stock of a company from Fruit of the Loom; 8 and I don't recall exactly beyond that, you know, 9 what -- what it did. I think it was just an investment 10 company, but I don't recall specifically. 11 Q. Okay. Who were the stockholders of JRCCR Corp.? 12 A. I don't remember at this time. 13 Q. Do you know who -- who you dealt with at JRCCR 14 Corp.? 15 A. Not at this time. 16 Q. Was JRCCR Corp. in any way related to Mr. Furman 17 or Mr. Forster? 18 A. I can't say for sure at this point in time. 19 Q. Okay. How about affiliated with either of 20 those two? 21 A. I can't say for sure at this time. I don't 22 have that -- I can't recall. It's just too long. 23 Q. So, 1988 was the last time you dealt with JRCCR 24 Corp.? 25 A. No, I didn't say that.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 19</p> <p>1 Q. Also an investor? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Now, have you ever heard the phrase "Midco 4 transaction"? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. What is your understanding of what a Midco 7 transaction is? 8 A. It's a -- an entity is formed to acquire the 9 stock of -- of a company that would like to sell its 10 business, and so it would close on that transaction and 11 then Midco would cause the target company to sell the 12 assets to a third party. 13 Q. Okay. Can we agree that the transaction at 14 issue in this case was a Midco transaction? 15 A. Yes, following that definition as I just 16 subscribed. 17 Q. Sure. JRCCR Corp., are you familiar with that 18 entity? Oh, let me back up. 19 With regard to Cronulla, who were the 20 principals of Cronulla Corporation? 21 A. I don't know. 22 Q. Did you prepare the tax returns for Cronulla? 23 A. No. 24 Q. How did you become familiar with Cronulla? 25 A. Either through Mr. Furman or Forster. I don't</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 21</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. 2 A. It was -- I dealt with it for several years 3 after. I don't remember when my association in any form 4 ended. I don't recall who owns it, you know, et cetera. 5 I just -- it's a name that I remember. I don't really 6 recall much besides what I've said that it ever did. 7 Q. How about Riversea Corp., R-I-V-E-R-S-E-A, 8 Corp.? Are you familiar with that entity? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. What do you remember about that entity? 11 A. I remember -- well, partly -- may I ask a 12 question? 13 Q. Sure. 14 A. When I -- am I allowed to make reference to the 15 documents here? 16 Q. Yeah. And we'll get there. If you would 17 rather wait until we get there -- 18 A. Well, I mean, what I'm saying here -- and maybe 19 this is just an understanding I need to have -- I 20 certainly remember the name as -- I remember the name 21 after having seen it in the book that you sent me. 22 Q. Okay. 23 A. And, you know, so, I do remember it in that 24 context; and maybe it brought back some memories. 25 Q. All right. What do you remember about it?</p>

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

HUNDT REPORTING
214-220-1122

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 22</p> <p>1 A. I remember that it acquired some assets or 2 company or something, you know, as this -- as is shown 3 here. 4 Q. Did you prepare or perform any services for 5 Riversea Corp.? 6 A. Not that I ever -- not that I recall. 7 Q. Do you recall who you ever -- if you ever dealt 8 with anybody at Riversea Corp.? 9 A. My recollection is I would have dealt with 10 Mr. Austin. 11 Q. Larry Austin? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Did you know if Larry Austin was a stockholder 14 in Riversea Corp.? 15 A. I do not believe he was. 16 Q. Do you recall what his relationship was with 17 Riversea Corp.? 18 A. I'm not sure I ever knew if there was a formal 19 relationship. 20 Q. Did Mr. Austin ask you to do something on 21 behalf of Riversea Corp.? 22 A. I don't recall. 23 Q. Okay. Do you recall how you associate RiverSea 24 Corp. with Mr. Austin? 25 A. I think he introduced it to me in the context</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 24</p> <p>1 point on? 2 A. Can you define "blossom"? 3 Q. Yeah. I think you did a lot of work for him 4 after that, right? 5 A. I didn't really do work for him until when I 6 said earlier, 1995. 7 Q. Okay. Well, then what happened from 1988 to 8 1995 that you began to take on more work for Mr. Furman? 9 A. Well, he was -- as I said, he was a consultant 10 to that company. I did the Canon work for that company, 11 and he left -- he stopped his association in -- I keep 12 saying 2000 -- 1995 and asked if I would help him. So, 13 I did. 14 Q. And have you performed any services for 15 Mr. Forster personally? 16 A. No. 17 Q. How about any entities or any affiliated -- any 18 affiliated entities with Mr. Forster? Have you 19 performed any services for any of those? 20 A. Under the Fortrend umbrella that I described, 21 yes. I'm not sure beyond that what you mean by 22 "affiliated entities." 23 Q. Okay. Do you recall the names of those 24 entities? 25 A. Well, we -- I think we just talked about those,</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 23</p> <p>1 of, for example, the transaction referred to here. 2 Q. Did you see documents signed by Mr. Austin on 3 behalf of Riversea Corp.? 4 A. I don't recall who would have signed it -- who 5 would have signed documents. 6 Q. Did you perform any services for Mr. Furman on 7 a personal level? 8 A. I don't believe so, other than occasionally he 9 would ask me, like a personal income tax question, just 10 in passing. 11 Q. How did you first become associated with 12 Mr. Furman? 13 A. He was a consultant to a company that I 14 obtained as a client in 1988. 15 Q. What was the name of that company? 16 A. Can I just talk to Mr. Lynch for a second? 17 Q. Sure. 18 (Recess taken from 10:31 to 10:32.) 19 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) Do you have an answer? 20 A. I don't recall at this time the name of the 21 entity itself. 22 Q. And then in 1988 you met Mr. Furman; is that 23 right? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. So, how did your relationship blossom from that</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 25</p> <p>1 didn't we? 2 Q. Okay. I didn't know if there were any more. 3 A. Nothing different than that umbrella, or at 4 least nothing that I can recall different than that 5 umbrella. 6 Q. Sure. Do you recall an entity by the name of 7 Stallwalker, Inc.? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Okay. Do you associate anybody with that 10 entity? 11 A. Larry Austin. 12 Q. Do you know what kind of business Stallwalker, 13 Inc. is or -- is it in business, some type of business? 14 A. I believe that's the entity that he used to 15 administer companies. 16 Q. Did you prepare the tax returns for 17 Stallwalker, Inc.? 18 A. Not that I recall. 19 Q. Did you do any work for Stallwalker, Inc.? 20 A. Outside of the companies in that umbrella, I 21 don't believe so. 22 Q. How about Chenery Associates, C-H-E-N-E-R-Y, 23 Associates? 24 A. I have heard the name. 25 Q. Do you associate anybody else -- anybody with</p>

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

HUNDT REPORTING
214-220-1122

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26</p> <p>1 that entity?</p> <p>2 A. Two people.</p> <p>3 Q. Who would that be?</p> <p>4 A. Larry Austin and Roy Hahn, H-A-H-N.</p> <p>5 Q. The first name again?</p> <p>6 A. Roy.</p> <p>7 Q. Roy Hahn. What do you recall about Chenery</p> <p>8 Associates?</p> <p>9 A. Not much. I'm not sure I really knew what they</p> <p>10 ever did or do.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. Was Mr. Austin a principal of that</p> <p>12 entity?</p> <p>13 A. I can't say.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. How did you associate Mr. Austin with</p> <p>15 that entity?</p> <p>16 A. I'm not sure whether he ever told me that he</p> <p>17 worked under that or whether it was -- I saw it in print</p> <p>18 somewhere or hearsay. It could have been a combination</p> <p>19 of all those, but I'm just not really sure which one or</p> <p>20 which ones.</p> <p>21 Q. All right. How about Robinson Ranchero</p> <p>22 Citizens Council?</p> <p>23 A. I've heard that name.</p> <p>24 Q. You have? Okay. What do you -- who do you</p> <p>25 associate with that entity?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 28</p> <p>1 Q. Perhaps the what?</p> <p>2 A. A vice president.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Do you recall what year that would have</p> <p>4 been?</p> <p>5 A. From 1988 up to and including 1995. I don't</p> <p>6 recall after.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. How about Mr. Furman? Do you associate</p> <p>8 Mr. Furman with that entity?</p> <p>9 A. I believe he was a consultant to that company.</p> <p>10 Q. Were you ever a stockholder in that company?</p> <p>11 A. No.</p> <p>12 Q. Who were the other -- you said the principal</p> <p>13 shareholder was historically Doug Wolf. Do you recall</p> <p>14 any other shareholders?</p> <p>15 A. Directly or indirectly?</p> <p>16 Q. Sure.</p> <p>17 A. There were some interests that I can't recall</p> <p>18 in the '90s what their names are who owned it. Starting</p> <p>19 in 2000, there was a gentleman, Richard Buckingham, who</p> <p>20 was a shareholder starting in 2000, I think it was or</p> <p>21 2001. I forget which year. And there may have been</p> <p>22 other parties. I just can't recall their names.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. With regard to Mr. Furman, do you recall</p> <p>24 what years he was a consultant to the company?</p> <p>25 A. '88 to '95.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 27</p> <p>1 A. In what capacity?</p> <p>2 Q. Just any -- how do you remember that entity?</p> <p>3 A. I heard it through Mr. -- through Mr. Austin.</p> <p>4 Q. What did Mr. Austin tell you about it?</p> <p>5 A. It was an Indian -- it was either an Indian</p> <p>6 tribe or a company or company owned by an Indian tribe</p> <p>7 somewhere out in California somewhere.</p> <p>8 Q. How about Robinson Trust Company?</p> <p>9 A. That would have been the same answer.</p> <p>10 Q. Intercontinental Pacific Group?</p> <p>11 A. I know that.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. What do you know about that entity?</p> <p>13 A. It was in -- let me see where to start. I</p> <p>14 prepared tax returns for it starting in, I think, '95</p> <p>15 through today. I am today an officer of the company,</p> <p>16 CFO. That its principal shareholder has been</p> <p>17 historically Doug Wolf, that it has acquired assets</p> <p>18 through the years, typically in the leasing area,</p> <p>19 equipment leasing area. I'm not sure what else to say.</p> <p>20 Q. Do you associate Mr. Austin with that entity at</p> <p>21 all?</p> <p>22 A. No.</p> <p>23 Q. How about Forster?</p> <p>24 A. Years gone by he was, I believe, an officer,</p> <p>25 perhaps VP, of that entity.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 29</p> <p>1 Q. How long were you -- you said you're an officer</p> <p>2 and a CFO. How long have you had those positions with</p> <p>3 Intercontinental Pacific Group?</p> <p>4 A. I'm sorry. Did you say officer and CFO?</p> <p>5 Q. That's what I wrote down. Is that incorrect?</p> <p>6 A. Yeah. My title as an officer is CFO.</p> <p>7 Q. I'm sorry.</p> <p>8 A. It's not --</p> <p>9 Q. Okay.</p> <p>10 A. I can only guess it's 2000, maybe late '90s. I</p> <p>11 don't recall exactly when.</p> <p>12 Q. What's the business of Intercontinental Pacific</p> <p>13 Group?</p> <p>14 A. I've already answered that.</p> <p>15 Q. Oh, you did?</p> <p>16 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>17 Q. Answer it one more time for me, please.</p> <p>18 Oh, you said engaged assets?</p> <p>19 A. It acquired assets, typically leasing assets.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay.</p> <p>21 A. And did some consulting work.</p> <p>22 Q. How did you get to be the CFO of that company?</p> <p>23 A. I don't know if I recall that.</p> <p>24 Q. Do you recall who asked you to become the CFO?</p> <p>25 A. No. I just know it happened.</p>

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

HUNDT REPORTING
214-220-1122

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 30</p> <p>1 Q. How much of your time do you spend performing 2 CFO functions for that company? 3 A. As needed? It's hard to -- it varies. 4 Q. Okay. Have you performed any services for 5 Mr. Austin personally? 6 A. I don't believe so, other than perhaps a 7 periodic question that he's asked me in passing. 8 Q. Do you know Craig Hoffman? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. How do you know Craig Hoffman? 11 A. He was a consultant to Fortrend. 12 Q. Did you perform any services for Mr. Hoffman 13 personally? 14 A. No. 15 Q. I'm sorry. I don't recall. Did you say -- do 16 you prepare the tax return for Fortrend International? 17 A. I did. 18 Q. You did up through 2000? 19 A. I believe '99. 20 Q. '99. Okay. At that time do you recall who its 21 employees were? 22 A. I believe the employees were just like 23 receptionists. I'm not sure what employees, if any, it 24 had. I just don't recall. 25 Q. Okay. Do you recall who -- did Fortrend have</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 32</p> <p>1 A. No. 2 Q. How about Mr. Austin? 3 A. No. 4 Q. Are you familiar with the law firms that 5 Fortrend typically did business with? 6 A. I believe so. 7 Q. Okay. Give me those names. 8 A. One was Pillsbury, P-I-L-L-S-B-U-R-Y, Madison, 9 M-A-D-I-S-O-N, and Sutro, S-U-T-R-O. Another one was 10 Manatt, M-A-N-A-T-T, I think, Phelps, P-H-E-L-P-S, and 11 Phillips. There was some -- 12 Q. Chamberlain Hrdlicka? 13 A. Yes. Chamberlain is C-H-A-M-B-E-R-L-A-I-N 14 Hrdlicka is H-R-D-L-I-C-K-A. It's a much bigger name. 15 The principal lawyer at Pillsbury moved around a couple 16 times. And, so, there may have been other firms that 17 they used; but it was really because they followed the 18 services of the attorney. 19 Q. Was that Graham Taylor? 20 A. Yes. There may have been other firms but none 21 that I can recall at this time. 22 Q. Okay. Do you recall the accounting firms that 23 Fortrend typically did business with? 24 A. I don't -- on a regular basis, I don't think 25 there were any.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 31</p> <p>1 an office? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. In New York City? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Where else? 6 A. San Francisco. 7 Q. Where did Craig Hoffman reside or work? Which 8 office did he work out of? 9 A. New York. 10 Q. Do you recall who else was in the New York 11 office? 12 A. Howard Cramer, would have been Tex Calderone. 13 I don't remember what her real first name is. There 14 were -- I sat there. 15 Q. Oh, you had an office there as well? 16 A. I had a desk there, yes, when I was working for 17 them that I was able to use. Also for a while there was 18 Harry Zelnick, I think it's Z-E-L-N-I-C-K. I may be 19 wrong on that. Jim Rhodes, R-H-O-D-E-S, and then there 20 were other people who sat in that office who, you know, 21 who rented space who weren't employees -- who weren't 22 doing work for Fortrend but just rented space. 23 Q. Did Mr. Furman keep an office there? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. How about Mr. Forster?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 33</p> <p>1 Q. Other than Howard B. Teig, CPA? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Do you recall Fortrend using or consulting with 4 any of the Big 5 accounting firms or whatever it was at 5 the time? 6 A. Perhaps. I don't recall, though. 7 Q. Do you recall if they ever consulted with 8 Arthur Andersen? 9 A. Perhaps. I can't recall. 10 Q. PriceWaterhouseCoopers? 11 A. Perhaps. I can't recall. 12 Q. Coopers & Lybrand or -- 13 A. You can go through all of them. They're the 14 same. Same answer. 15 Q. All right. I guess PWC is actually Coopers. 16 Do you recall talking to any individuals 17 at any of those accounting firms or from any of those 18 accounting firms? 19 MR. LYNCH: Can you narrow your question? 20 Are you talking about ever? 21 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) With regard to Fortrend. 22 A. With regard to Fortrend itself? 23 Q. Or any of the umbrella entities that you 24 described earlier. 25 A. Well, certainly, it was mentioned in here, E&Y.</p>

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

HUNDT REPORTING
214-220-1122

Witness: Howard Teig

Page 34	Page 36
<p>1 Q. Uh-huh.</p> <p>2 A. So, I did speak with E&Y. You know, I can</p> <p>3 guess that in the due diligence period that there were</p> <p>4 other firms, you know, that, you know, other accounting</p> <p>5 firms, you know, other companies that, you know, they</p> <p>6 were looking to acquire, they may have had, you know,</p> <p>7 major accounting firms.</p> <p>8 By the way, another law firm was Battle,</p> <p>9 B-A-T-T-L-E, Fowler, F-O-W-L-E-R.</p> <p>10 Q. Did you ever talk personally to any of the</p> <p>11 accounting firms, anybody in the accounting firms with</p> <p>12 regard to transactions that Fortrend was engaged in?</p> <p>13 A. I can't recall. Maybe, maybe not. Other than</p> <p>14 E&Y in the context of this matter.</p> <p>15 Q. And I know you're familiar with an entity by</p> <p>16 the name of Signal Capital Associates, LP; is that</p> <p>17 right?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. We'll call it SCALP?</p> <p>20 A. Okay.</p> <p>21 Q. When did you first become associated or</p> <p>22 introduced to SCALP?</p> <p>23 A. '94.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. And how did that occur?</p> <p>25 A. I was a shareholder of the general partner.</p>	<p>1 (Recess taken from 10:53 to 11:06.)</p> <p>2 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) Back on the discussion about</p> <p>3 SCALP, who are the managers of SCALP?</p> <p>4 A. When?</p> <p>5 Q. Back in 1999.</p> <p>6 A. Well, it was a partnership. So, you mean</p> <p>7 general partner?</p> <p>8 Q. Who was -- no. Managing is more what I want to</p> <p>9 know. Was it the general partner who was managing the</p> <p>10 entity?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. And the general partner was Mr. Furman;</p> <p>13 is that right?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. How long has Mr. Furman been the general</p> <p>16 partner of SCALP?</p> <p>17 A. Since the end of '96.</p> <p>18 Q. Was SCALP -- was it another entity prior to</p> <p>19 changing its name to SCALP?</p> <p>20 A. Yes. Originally DWJ Partnership, and then</p> <p>21 DWJ&R Partnership.</p> <p>22 Q. Who is the shareholder or the general partner</p> <p>23 of SCALP currently?</p> <p>24 A. I don't know.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. At what point in time was Signal Capital</p>
Page 35	Page 37
<p>1 Q. Who was the general partner?</p> <p>2 A. Signal Capital Associates, Inc.</p> <p>3 Q. Have you performed services for SCALP since</p> <p>4 1994?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. What's the nature of those services?</p> <p>7 A. I prepared tax returns through '99. I also</p> <p>8 kept the books and records and kept track of assets that</p> <p>9 they had.</p> <p>10 Q. And I understand with regard to the assets that</p> <p>11 SCALP had, was there an inventory of assets that you had</p> <p>12 put together?</p> <p>13 A. I did not put together.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. Who put -- let me back up. And this</p> <p>15 inventory of assets, I understand, had the tax basis</p> <p>16 associated with those assets; is that correct?</p> <p>17 A. Yes, it did have tax basis.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. And what kind of assets were they?</p> <p>19 A. There was an investment in Canadian dollars and</p> <p>20 the British pound and then there were securities' stocks</p> <p>21 of companies that they owned cash and I think generally</p> <p>22 that's it.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay.</p> <p>24 MR. LYNCH: Can we take a break for just a</p> <p>25 couple minutes?</p>	<p>1 Associates, Inc. the general partner of SCALP?</p> <p>2 A. I'm sorry. Please repeat that.</p> <p>3 Q. I thought you testified earlier that you were a</p> <p>4 shareholder of the general partner who was Signal</p> <p>5 Capital Associates, Inc.?</p> <p>6 A. Correct.</p> <p>7 Q. When was that?</p> <p>8 A. When was what?</p> <p>9 Q. When were you the shareholder of the general</p> <p>10 partner?</p> <p>11 A. December '94 to November '96.</p> <p>12 Q. And in November of '96 did Mr. Furman then</p> <p>13 become the general partner of SCALP?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. In addition to Mr. Furman, back in 1999, who</p> <p>16 were the other partners of SCALP?</p> <p>17 A. Fred Forster; Michael Hall; Kanawha</p> <p>18 Enterprises, K-A-N-A-W-H-A; Autochton Associates,</p> <p>19 Autochton is A-U-T-O-C-H-T-O-N, something like that.</p> <p>20 Q. Were those limited partners or general</p> <p>21 partners?</p> <p>22 A. Limited.</p> <p>23 Q. Do you know who owns the entity currently?</p> <p>24 A. No.</p> <p>25 Q. And you dealt with -- tell me what -- did you</p>

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

HUNDT REPORTING
214-220-1122

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 38</p> <p>1 provide services to SCALP?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. And what were those services?</p> <p>4 A. I maintained its books and records, prepared</p> <p>5 its tax returns and kept track of its assets.</p> <p>6 Q. And what period of time did you do this work?</p> <p>7 A. Well, from '94 I was providing that service</p> <p>8 through '99, perhaps the first part of 2000.</p> <p>9 Q. Are you familiar with the Midco transaction</p> <p>10 that's at issue here?</p> <p>11 A. Yes, I'm familiar with the transaction.</p> <p>12 Q. Have you provided any services with regard to</p> <p>13 the transaction?</p> <p>14 A. For what period?</p> <p>15 Q. Any time at all.</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. Describe those services, please.</p> <p>18 A. In 1999, I -- I was asked -- I was -- it was</p> <p>19 indicated to me that SCALP, using that terminology,</p> <p>20 wanted to make a contribution of assets; and since I</p> <p>21 kept track of all the assets, if I could assist in</p> <p>22 identifying which assets should be contributed. And</p> <p>23 then later on, actually in 2000, I provided information</p> <p>24 regarding, you know, to E&Y, you know, for them to use</p> <p>25 in the preparation of their tax return -- preparation of</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 40</p> <p>1 Q. And what parameters were you given as to what</p> <p>2 assets would be transferred over or, what did you say,</p> <p>3 contributed?</p> <p>4 A. Contributed. I was informed that there was a</p> <p>5 need for assets, which if and when disposed of, would</p> <p>6 produce an ordinary loss of X and a capital loss of Y.</p> <p>7 Q. But you don't -- I take it you don't recall the</p> <p>8 amounts?</p> <p>9 A. Correct.</p> <p>10 Q. And who would have set those parameters?</p> <p>11 Either Mr. Furman or Hoffman or both?</p> <p>12 A. Most likely Mr. Hoffman.</p> <p>13 Q. And once they gave you the parameters, how did</p> <p>14 you go about doing that?</p> <p>15 A. Well, as I said, I kept track of the inventory.</p> <p>16 So, I would look at the inventory and see what seemed to</p> <p>17 fit, you know, those parameters; and then I would</p> <p>18 identify them for Mr. -- well, combination to Mr. Furman</p> <p>19 for his handling the assignment of the currency -- the</p> <p>20 foreign currency, and I would contact the attorneys for</p> <p>21 the assignment of the -- any -- any stocks that they</p> <p>22 had.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay.</p> <p>24 A. In fact, I would contact the attorneys about</p> <p>25 both, also.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39</p> <p>1 return they prepared.</p> <p>2 Q. Which return was that?</p> <p>3 A. I can only say generically K-Pipe. I'm not</p> <p>4 sure what the exact name was.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. We'll look at it in a second. And who</p> <p>6 asked you to perform services with regard to this Midco</p> <p>7 transaction?</p> <p>8 A. Well, the first part of it where the</p> <p>9 contribution aspect that I referred to, which was</p> <p>10 keeping track of the assets and identifying them, that</p> <p>11 came from a combination, I believe, of Mr. Furman and</p> <p>12 Mr. Hoffman. The latter part, which was assisting --</p> <p>13 you know, providing information to E&Y, that was really</p> <p>14 more my general understanding of just something I needed</p> <p>15 to do.</p> <p>16 Q. With regard to the contribution-type services</p> <p>17 you described, when did that occur during the year? You</p> <p>18 said 1999?</p> <p>19 A. For this transaction?</p> <p>20 Q. Yes, sir.</p> <p>21 A. It was around the time of the transaction,</p> <p>22 which I think here was -- I remember the book said it</p> <p>23 was November 8th, I believe, '99. So, it was on or</p> <p>24 around then. I don't recall the exact time -- exact</p> <p>25 date.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 41</p> <p>1 Q. Would you have been contacted or requested by</p> <p>2 anybody at Fortrend to perform services prior to the</p> <p>3 closing date of the Midco transaction at issue here?</p> <p>4 A. I don't recall ever doing anything prior.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. You mentioned earlier you would do some</p> <p>6 due diligence work occasionally?</p> <p>7 A. In this case I know I definitely did not do any</p> <p>8 due diligence.</p> <p>9 Q. And how do you know you definitely did not do</p> <p>10 any?</p> <p>11 A. Because I recall that Mr. Hoffman did it.</p> <p>12 Having said that, though, it is possible that I may have</p> <p>13 read the contracts prior to the actual closing. It's</p> <p>14 possible. I may have had a conversation prior to</p> <p>15 closing. So, I don't want to just imply that I did</p> <p>16 absolutely nothing; but I prepared nothing. I may have</p> <p>17 just read some things. I may have talked to people</p> <p>18 about things. So, that's possible.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. Now, why would you have -- and I'm not</p> <p>20 saying -- I'm just curious. You're not a lawyer; is</p> <p>21 that right?</p> <p>22 A. Correct.</p> <p>23 Q. Why would you be reviewing contracts on behalf</p> <p>24 of Fortrend?</p> <p>25 A. Just reading it. I have a long history in</p>

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

HUNDT REPORTING
214-220-1122

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 42</p> <p>1 reading contracts and -- and just part of my -- I guess 2 part of my skills is being able to read a contract, 3 generally understand it, provide comments, both from 4 accounting natures and just general. 5 Q. With regard to your work on this particular 6 Midco transaction, did you ever have any conversations 7 with any representatives or employees or management from 8 Midcoast? 9 A. I don't recall any. 10 Q. Are you familiar with -- 11 A. Can I go back a minute, though? 12 Q. Sure. 13 A. In the process of preparing the information for 14 E&Y after the fact, in the year 2000, it's possible that 15 I may have had some contact with someone at Midcoast 16 because I believe that they may have been the holder of 17 the records of the business for the activity prior to 18 closing. So, it's possible I may have had some -- and I 19 seem to have some -- read something here that may refer 20 to that. So, I may have had some contact with some 21 people in that context only. 22 Q. Are you familiar with transactions labeled by 23 the IRS as lease stripping transactions or lease strips? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. What's your understanding of what a lease strip</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 44</p> <p>1 just to repeat that question? 2 (The record was read as requested.) 3 A. I'm not sure of your use of the word 4 "recognized." 5 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) Okay. Was allocated the income 6 resulting from the sale of the rental stream? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. And did those types of transactions have 9 anything to do with the inventory of assets you 10 maintained or accounted for owned by SCALP? 11 A. Can you please repeat that? 12 (The record was read as requested.) 13 A. Indirectly. 14 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) Okay. Isn't it true that the 15 basis of those assets that you maintained or accounted 16 for in SCALP was derived from these lease-stripping 17 transactions that occurred in the '90s? 18 A. Yes. 19 MR. STERN: Objection, leading. 20 MR. LYNCH: You already answered it. 21 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) Are you aware of how Fortrend 22 made -- profited in Midco transactions such as the one 23 at issue here? 24 A. Yes, and I explained that earlier. 25 Q. Okay. Is that the arbitrage profit you</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 43</p> <p>1 is? 2 A. It -- a lease strip transaction was -- is -- 3 was a transaction where it was generally a leasing 4 transaction where there would be a party that owned an 5 asset. They would lease it to an entity, and that 6 entity would then lease it further on to a user, a 7 third-party user. Typically, the stripping part of it 8 is when the user would pre-pay all of his rent for the 9 entire lease term to the directing lessee and that money 10 would be held aside in an escrow account, defeasance 11 type of arrangement. And, so, what you had is a 12 transaction where, you know, where there would be a 13 party that would be the lessee of an asset and would be 14 a sublessor and what it had was an obligation to pay 15 rent in the future and it also had an asset which was 16 the proceeds from having sold its right to receive or 17 have received the pre-payment of rent from the actual 18 user. And, so, it would have had that asset. It would 19 have had that future liability. That was a -- what I 20 always thought of as a lease stripping transaction. 21 Q. Okay. Did those types of transactions, if you 22 recall, typically involve tax neutral entities who 23 eventually recognized or absorbed the income resulting 24 from the sale of the rental stream? 25 A. Yes. I'm sorry. Can I go back and may I ask</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 45</p> <p>1 explained? 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 Q. Do you know if that, in fact, existed in this 4 case? 5 A. I would believe so. 6 Q. And why do you think that? 7 A. Some recollection. 8 Q. Do you know how the amount of the profit was 9 determined or calculated? 10 A. I -- in this case I can't say. 11 Q. Okay. On a general basis, do you know how 12 Fortrend would try to determine the amount of arbitrage 13 profit as you labeled it would be calculated? 14 MR. STERN: Objection, form. 15 A. Do I answer? 16 MR. LYNCH: If you know the answer, answer 17 the question. 18 A. The -- I'm sorry. Could you please repeat the 19 question? 20 (The record was read as requested.) 21 A. Well, it would be calculated -- it would be 22 determined as a function of what the value of the assets 23 were, less what they sold -- they were able to buy the 24 stock for. 25 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) Okay. How did they -- do you</p>

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

Witness: Howard Teig

Page 46	Page 48
<p>1 know how Fortrend valued the stock that they were 2 purchasing? 3 A. They would look at the value of the underlying 4 assets and apply a discount -- the assets and 5 liabilities and apply a discount. 6 Q. What kind of discount? 7 A. It would be based upon the inherent tax -- the 8 inherent gain that might be realized when the assets 9 were sold. 10 Q. Could you be more specific on that? 11 A. I'm not sure how to be. 12 Q. Okay. Well, if you have a -- if you have a 13 transaction where you have assets minus liabilities is 14 100 million and you know that the inherent gain on the 15 sale of the assets would be 50 million, how would they 16 calculate the amount of the purchase price for the 17 stock? 18 MR. STERN: Objection, form. 19 MR. LYNCH: I don't know specifically what 20 your question is then. 21 MR. COFFIN: Well, this is just an 22 example. I would like for him to -- if he is aware of 23 how it was calculated. 24 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) You said the discount was based 25 on the inherent gain that would result from the sale of</p>	<p>1 A. As a -- generally 10 percent. 2 Q. And then it varied from 10 percent, either 3 higher or lower? 4 A. I believe so. 5 Q. Okay. And the transactions that you were 6 associated with, do you recall what the bottom 7 percentage was and what the top percentage was? 8 A. No. 9 Q. Did it ever go down to 7 percent? 10 A. I don't recall. 11 Q. So, they would take the 10 percent, multiply it 12 by the -- the gain inherent on the resulting sale of the 13 assets; is that right? 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. And then -- 16 MR. STERN: Objection, form. 17 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) And then what would they do 18 with that -- with the product of that computation? 19 A. Well, citing your example. 20 Q. Uh-huh. 21 A. And using just as an example the 10 percent, 22 they would take the \$50 million income -- the income tax 23 resulting from the gain, and they would apply, say, 24 10 percent. And, so, they would take a discount of 25 \$5 million, 10 percent of \$50 million, and apply that as</p>
Page 47	Page 49
<p>1 the asset. So, how is the discount determined? 2 A. There would be some agreement between the 3 parties based upon that \$50 million gain. 4 Q. Which parties? 5 A. The Fortrend and its umbrella and the stock 6 seller. 7 Q. So, when you said they would take the assets 8 minus liabilities and apply a discount to it, they would 9 actually reduce the price or reduce the assets minus 10 liabilities component of the formula? 11 A. What do you mean by "reduce"? Reduce what? 12 Q. Well, you said it was a discount. I associate 13 that with a reduction in price. 14 A. Well, I said they would -- they would agree 15 upon -- they would agree upon a discount based upon the 16 \$50 million in your example. 17 Q. And how would they come up with the amount of 18 the discount? 19 A. It varied in different situations. 20 Q. Was it a percentage? 21 A. Sometimes. 22 Q. Was there a percentage that Fortrend tried to 23 shoot for in these types of transactions? 24 A. Sometimes. 25 Q. Okay. What was that percentage?</p>	<p>1 a discount from the value of the assets net of the 2 liabilities. 3 Q. The evidence in this case shows that Fortrend 4 paid -- and this was through K-Pipe at closing -- paid 5 PriceWaterhouseCoopers a finder's fee of roughly 6 \$958,000. Do you recall seeing that? 7 MR. STERN: Objection, form. 8 A. I recall only from what I saw in these papers 9 that there was a payment somewhere here. I don't know 10 anything about that. I don't recall anything about it. 11 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) Okay. Was it common for 12 Fortrend to pay fees to accounting firms or law firms in 13 Midco transactions? 14 A. I don't recall. 15 Q. Do you recall if Fortrend paid any such -- any 16 types of fees to accounting firms or law firms for 17 introductory reasons? 18 MR. STERN: Objection, form. 19 A. I don't recall. 20 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) Have you ever dealt with Ian 21 Shackter? 22 A. For some reason the name sounds familiar, but I 23 can't remember if I have or even in -- in what context. 24 Q. Okay. He worked with PriceWaterhouseCoopers. 25 A. Okay.</p>

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

HUNDT REPORTING
214-220-1122

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 50</p> <p>1 Q. Does that help you recall?</p> <p>2 A. No. It may be a somewhat common name to me,</p> <p>3 for all I know.</p> <p>4 Q. Gary Wilcox?</p> <p>5 A. Not -- I'm not sure I recall that name.</p> <p>6 Q. Tom Palmisano?</p> <p>7 A. No.</p> <p>8 Q. Robert Whitten?</p> <p>9 A. No.</p> <p>10 Q. Dennis McErlean, M-c-E-R-L-E-A-N?</p> <p>11 A. No.</p> <p>12 Q. Do you know if Fortrend engaged</p> <p>13 PriceWaterhouseCoopers for the Midco transaction at</p> <p>14 issue here?</p> <p>15 A. I don't know whether I have -- I don't know if</p> <p>16 I have a recollection or if I ever knew. But at this</p> <p>17 time I certainly have no recollection.</p> <p>18 Q. Let's go to our exhibits, please. Let's start</p> <p>19 with Exhibit 145. We may be going back and forth</p> <p>20 through this binder, but I'm going to try to ask my</p> <p>21 questions chronologically. Do you see 145, sir?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. Have you seen this document prior to</p> <p>24 today, Mr. Teig?</p> <p>25 A. I can't recall. Before today, yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 52</p> <p>1 A. Not specifically. I'm sorry. Could you please</p> <p>2 repeat that last question?</p> <p>3 (The record was read as requested.)</p> <p>4 A. Once again, no, other than to serve as, you</p> <p>5 know, an officer, director of the company, as I</p> <p>6 mentioned before, and then serve in whatever capacity it</p> <p>7 required.</p> <p>8 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) And the wire above that is a</p> <p>9 wire to Lebouef, Lamb for \$299,750 with a reference</p> <p>10 Fortrend International, K-Pipe and Graham Taylor.</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Did you deal with Graham Taylor on a regular</p> <p>13 basis?</p> <p>14 A. Yes. Although could you define "regular"?</p> <p>15 Q. Well, not only in this transaction, but in</p> <p>16 other Midco transactions as well?</p> <p>17 A. In other -- yes. In other transactions, yes.</p> <p>18 Q. What types of services did Mr. Taylor provide</p> <p>19 to Fortrend?</p> <p>20 A. In some cases it was serving as the corporate</p> <p>21 attorney, you know, handling the asset, you know, the</p> <p>22 stock agreement, being involved in whatever corporate</p> <p>23 attorney would do. And in other cases he may have</p> <p>24 written opinions -- or his firm rather -- or maybe he</p> <p>25 wrote on behalf of his firm.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 51</p> <p>1 Q. Last night or before I sent it to you?</p> <p>2 A. Before I -- before I got this book, I can't</p> <p>3 recall.</p> <p>4 Q. Turn to -- you will see in the bottom</p> <p>5 right-hand corner there are a couple numbers. I want</p> <p>6 you to refer to ENB-DOJ No. 28698.</p> <p>7 A. Okay. You're testing me for my vision.</p> <p>8 Q. It looks like this is a wire instruction signed</p> <p>9 by Larry Austin on behalf of K-Pipe Group, Inc. dated</p> <p>10 November 9 of 1999, and one of the wire instructions is</p> <p>11 to pay Mr. Austin \$312,260. Do you see that?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. Do you know what type services Mr. Austin</p> <p>14 provided in this Midco transaction?</p> <p>15 A. As I indicated earlier, I believe that he was</p> <p>16 the administrator of the -- of the company that was</p> <p>17 acquired.</p> <p>18 Q. And did you deal with Mr. Austin in relation to</p> <p>19 the services you performed on this transaction?</p> <p>20 A. Perhaps, but I can't recall specifically.</p> <p>21 Q. I think the evidence in this case shows that</p> <p>22 Mr. Austin signed certain documents and maybe an</p> <p>23 intended closing of the transaction. My question to you</p> <p>24 is: Are you aware of anything else that Mr. Austin may</p> <p>25 have done for K-Pipe Group?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 53</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. Do you know how -- was his firm paid by</p> <p>2 the hour for their services?</p> <p>3 A. I don't know.</p> <p>4 Q. In conjunction with your work for Fortrend, did</p> <p>5 you review bank statements?</p> <p>6 A. Probably -- most likely, yes, because I saw a</p> <p>7 reference to that somewhere else in this book.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. And is that how you would generally</p> <p>9 prepare accounting entries and journal entries for each</p> <p>10 entity?</p> <p>11 A. It would be part of the -- certainly part of</p> <p>12 the procedures.</p> <p>13 Q. Were you involved at all, as far as reviewing</p> <p>14 invoices from vendors or creditors, in determining that</p> <p>15 somebody from Fortrend needed to pay that particular</p> <p>16 invoice?</p> <p>17 A. Most likely, yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Would you review invoices from Graham Taylor</p> <p>19 and his law firm?</p> <p>20 A. I may have.</p> <p>21 Q. Who had check signing authority for the entity</p> <p>22 such as K-Pipe Group?</p> <p>23 MR. STERN: Objection, form.</p> <p>24 MR. LYNCH: If you know.</p> <p>25 A. Well, from this piece of paper I can presume</p>

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

Witness: Howard Teig

Page 54	Page 56
<p>1 that Larry Austin did, since he signed this asking the 2 bank to send wires. 3 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) Would Mr. Furman typically have 4 check signing authority on the bank account as well? 5 A. I can't recall. 6 Q. And, so, once you reviewed an invoice and 7 determined it needed to be paid, who would you take that 8 information to? 9 MR. STERN: What are you talking about? 10 You are talking about a whole bunch of different 11 entities. Are you talking about -- what bank account? 12 What are you talking about? 13 MR. COFFIN: I thought he just said he 14 reviewed invoices for Fortrend. 15 MR. STERN: Are you talking about Fortrend 16 or K-Pipe? 17 A. Fortrend or K-Pipe? Are you asking Fortrend or 18 K-Pipe? 19 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) For Fortrend. 20 A. For Fortrend? 21 Q. Uh-huh. 22 A. For Fortrend I saw the bills. There was an 23 account in New York, an account in San Francisco. For 24 the account in New York paying the bills, I -- I 25 generally would prepare the checks and bring them to</p>	<p>1 Q. And why were you paid the \$75,000? Do you 2 recall? 3 A. To provide the services that I've described. 4 Q. Had you -- 5 A. And to continue to prepare tax returns if and 6 when necessary. 7 Q. Okay. So, as of this date, you received this 8 November 9 of 1999, had you performed any services for 9 K-Pipe Group? 10 A. Well, we've already discussed that. 11 Q. Well, I thought you said -- you said sometime 12 in November; but my question is up to November 9 of 13 1999. 14 A. But I also indicated that I can't -- I said 15 that I may have looked at documents and things prior to 16 the closing date. I can't be sure. 17 Q. Okay. Would that have been the only types of 18 services you would have done prior to the closing date? 19 A. And also I can't be sure about the timing of 20 that other aspect that I talked about already, which was 21 when Mr. Hoffman and Furman contacted me and asked me to 22 look at the records of SCALP for the inventory. I don't 23 know the timing of that. 24 Q. Do you know how your fee was determined? 25 A. No.</p>
Page 55	Page 57
<p>1 Mr. Furman to sign. 2 For the bills in San Francisco, I had 3 nothing to do with them. 4 Q. Okay. Now, did you do this -- perform the same 5 function for the entities that you described under the 6 umbrella Fortrend? 7 A. I don't think I -- I didn't generally prepare 8 checks. Certainly I didn't -- I didn't do that. It 9 varied what I did. 10 Q. But the question is: Did you review those 11 invoices, though? 12 A. For any of the entities? 13 Q. Yeah, for any of the entities under the 14 umbrella that you described earlier for Fortrend. 15 A. I may have reviewed some. 16 Q. Turn to, on the bottom right-hand corner 28625. 17 A. (Witness complies.) 18 Q. I'm sorry. 28623. They appear to be out of 19 order, don't they? 20 MR. LYNCH: Yeah. It's towards the end. 21 It's the last page. Have you got it? 22 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) Okay. This represents a wire 23 transfer from K-Pipe Group, Inc. to you, Mr. Teig, of 24 \$75,000. Do you recall receiving that \$75,000? 25 A. I can presume I did.</p>	<p>1 Q. Did you have some kind of an arrangement with 2 Mr. Furman or with Fortrend that you would be paid on 3 these Midco transactions? 4 A. Yes. Yes, an understanding. 5 Q. Okay. And I'm curious as to how an amount 6 would be determined by Fortrend unilaterally without -- 7 A. Fortrend would tell me, and I would say okay. 8 Q. And do you know how Mr. Furman or Fortrend 9 would come up with that number? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Did you ever question Mr. Furman or anyone at 12 Fortrend as to how they came up with that number? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. And what was the answer to that question? 15 A. It was an inconclusive answer which led to my 16 ceasing to do work for them in 2000. 17 Q. When you were -- were you keeping -- you were 18 keeping the books and records of K-Pipe Group; is that 19 correct? 20 A. No. No. 21 Q. What kind of functions or services did you do 22 for K-Pipe Group, Inc.? 23 A. For what year? 24 Q. For 1999. 25 A. I assembled the information based upon various</p>

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

HUNDT REPORTING
214-220-1122

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 58</p> <p>1 sources and provided that information or summarized it 2 in providing it to E&Y. 3 Q. Do you know if the K-Pipe Group, Inc., and I'm 4 talking about post November 8 of 1999, if that entity 5 maintained books and records? 6 A. How would you define "books and records"? 7 Q. Did they have a formal accounting ledger or 8 computerized accounting system in place? 9 A. I don't believe so. 10 Q. Did they have bank statements, check copies? 11 A. They would have had bank statements and, if 12 applicable, copies of checks. 13 Q. Any other types of books and records that the 14 entity would have maintained after November 8th of 1999? 15 A. Someone kept track of the cash flows, ins and 16 outs. 17 Q. Who would that have been? 18 A. Often it was Mr. Forster. 19 Q. Turn back to 28626, please. 20 A. (Witness complies.) 21 MR. STERN: Can we take a quick break? 22 (Recess taken from 11:47 to 11:57.) 23 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) All right. We're looking at 24 Exhibit 125, and I asked you to turn to ENB-DOJ-28626. 25 Are you there?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 60</p> <p>1 MR. STERN: Are you talking about 2 Government Exhibit 233? 3 MR. COFFIN: Yes. 4 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) Does that help at all? If 5 not -- 6 A. I don't think so. 7 Q. -- you can look at 321. 8 A. Yes, that's what I was looking for. 9 Q. Okay. 10 A. 321 on the tip of page -- that's Government 11 72831 shows that Ashfield and -- 12 MR. STERN: Whoa. Okay. 13 THE WITNESS: Do you see the page? 14 MR. STERN: Yeah, I see it. 15 A. It shows that -- that section shows, first of 16 all, it's a limited partnership agreement, and it shows 17 that the limited partners were Ashfield and Cronulla, 18 however you pronounce it. And they were each 48 percent 19 partners. As I say, my presumption based upon that and 20 as I said earlier is that -- I mentioned that Ashfield 21 and Cronulla would have sold their interests, I would 22 have expected they would have sold their interest in the 23 partnership. This dollar -- these dollars on the first 24 exhibit, 28626, while I can't verify that the number is 25 exactly that, I would presume it to have been for that</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 59</p> <p>1 A. Yes, sir. 2 Q. Okay. This appears to be a wire instruction 3 from Mr. Austin on behalf of K-Pipe Group to Rabobank 4 requesting that wires of \$1,451,000 be sent to each 5 Cronulla Corporation and to Ashfield International, 6 Limited. Are you aware of what the purpose of these 7 wires would be? 8 A. I don't recall -- I can't recall at this time, 9 although I probably saw documents back then; and I would 10 only be able to presume today. 11 Q. Did you see any documents that I sent to you 12 earlier this week that might give you -- that may cause 13 you to recall better what these wires would be for? 14 A. Well, the documents that you gave me would 15 allow me to only presume. 16 Q. Okay. 17 A. But that's not a -- but not based upon fact. 18 It's based upon a guess on my part. 19 Q. Okay. Can you give me an educated guess on 20 that? 21 A. Yes. The -- one of the other documents you 22 sent somewhere in this packet -- 23 Q. Look at 233. Maybe that will help. 24 A. No, it wasn't. I don't know what 233 is but -- 25 oh, 233?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 61</p> <p>1 purchase of their partnership interest. 2 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) Okay. And do you know why they 3 would have sold their partnership interests? 4 A. To get money. 5 Q. Okay. So, as of October 20 of '99, according 6 to this limited partnership agreement, they were one of 7 the initial limited partners -- 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. -- on a combined basis 96 percent? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. And then the wire is dated November 9 or 12 November 10 of '99. So, sometime around then they would 13 have sold their interest in the -- in the partnership? 14 A. As I said, that would be a presumption on my 15 part. 16 Q. Okay. So, the question arises why do they get 17 in it just for, you know, less than a month -- why do 18 they get into the partnership for less than a month and 19 then -- and then get out of the partnership? 20 MR. LYNCH: If you don't know, you don't 21 know. 22 A. I'm not sure. I can't recall now why. 23 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) Can you give me an educated 24 guess on why that would happen? 25 A. Well, the getting out was there was the</p>

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 62</p> <p>1 opportunity to sell their interest and make money. So, 2 they would have been willing to do at that point. I 3 don't recall, though, the specifics of this or 4 necessarily any other situation why they came in, why 5 they went out, other than to make money. 6 Q. Okay. And how would they make money on the 7 transaction, if you know? 8 A. \$1,451,000 was -- let's presume to be the sales 9 price for each of those parties, and their capital 10 contribution was \$48,000. That's a million four plus. 11 That's how they make money. 12 Q. Okay. And on the wire instruction back on 145 13 it says "Call Fred Forster" for each of the entities. 14 Do you see that under "Reference"? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Now, I can't recall. Did you testify that 17 Forster was associated with either of these entities? 18 A. I had said earlier that -- that I learned of -- 19 at least of Ashfield through Mr. Forster and that 20 Cronulla, I had heard of either through Mr. Furman or 21 Mr. Forster. 22 Q. Now, these are wire instructions. My question 23 to you, Mr. Teig, is whether you ever -- do you recall 24 ever seeing any bank statements or anything from K-Pipe 25 showing that these payments of \$1,451,000 actually went</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 64</p> <p>1 Q. Say that again. 2 A. I cannot recall. Possibly. 3 Q. Possibly you did see it. Is that what you 4 mean? 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. Okay. Do you know who may have drafted it? 7 A. It's possible that I did. 8 Q. Why do you think that it may have been you? 9 A. Excuse me? 10 Q. Why do you think that it may have been you? 11 A. Because it would not have been abnormal for me, 12 as part of the recordkeeping for SCALP, to -- once I've 13 been asked to identify assets -- to have prepared a 14 piece of paper like this and to have given it to 15 Mr. Furman for his signature and forwarding on to the 16 appropriate party. 17 Q. Okay. Did you see bank statements held by 18 SCALP -- I'm sorry. 19 Did you see bank statements for accounts 20 held by SCALP in the Grand Cayman? 21 A. At some point in time I did. 22 Q. Do you have any idea as to whether this request 23 was ever transmitted to the recipient Mrs. -- or the 24 addressee, Mrs. Kerry Ramoon? 25 A. I would have to presume, but I don't know for</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 63</p> <p>1 out to those entities? 2 A. At this time I can't recall seeing it. 3 However, there is e-mail traffic somewhere in this book 4 that indicates that -- that I provided the bank 5 statements to Ernst & Young. And, so, therefore, I have 6 to presume that I did see them to be able to provide 7 that information and that this would have been on there. 8 Q. Okay. Do you know if those two entities 9 provided any benefits or services to the K-Pipe Group? 10 A. I don't know. 11 Q. Were there any tax-related benefits those 12 entities may have provided to the K-Pipe Group? 13 A. None that I recall. 14 Q. Any idea how the amounts were determined, the 15 \$1,451,000? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Would it have anything to do with any of the 18 basis that may have been contributed in those assets 19 that you mentioned earlier? 20 A. I can't recall. 21 Q. Turn to Government Exhibit 324, please. 22 A. (Witness complies.) 23 Q. Have you seen this document prior to me sending 24 it to you earlier this week, Mr. Teig? 25 A. I can't recall. Possibly.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 65</p> <p>1 sure. 2 Q. What would be the -- or what was the purpose of 3 this request? 4 A. Two purposes. One was to assign it -- the 5 ownership of 158,620 Canadian dollars from SCALP to 6 K-Pipe, Inc -- K-Pipe Group, Inc. and then the third -- 7 the second, rather, is to ask that those Canadian 8 dollars be converted into U.S. dollars and deposited 9 into the account of K-Pipe Group. So, first was the 10 transfer/assignment/contribution; and second is the 11 conversion from foreign currency to U.S. currency. 12 Q. Okay. And the purpose of the conversion, was 13 that to generate some tax loss? 14 A. The effect of converting from Canadian dollars 15 to U.S. dollars was the recognition of a loss for U.S. 16 income tax purposes. 17 Q. Okay. And the Canadian dollars, it's my 18 understanding from your testimony earlier had some -- 19 had some basis, some tax basis in it that was generated 20 by lease-stripping transactions that occurred in the 21 '90s; is that correct? 22 A. It was part of the ultimate origin, if that's 23 what you're asking. 24 Q. Part of the ultimate origin. Okay. Were there 25 other parts?</p>

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

HUNDT REPORTING
214-220-1122

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 66</p> <p>1 A. Well, there was series of -- there's other 2 transactions that took place before the assets were, you 3 know, in currency. 4 Q. Now, did K-Pipe Group, Inc. actually have an 5 account, a bank account there at the Grand Pavilion -- 6 I'm sorry -- MeesPierson Cayman Limited? Did it have an 7 account there? 8 A. I don't believe it did. 9 Q. How then would you -- how could they -- how 10 could the bank irrevocably transfer the Canadian dollars 11 to K-Pipe Group, Inc. if there was no account there? 12 A. You have to ask the bank. 13 Q. Turn to 326, please. This is a string of 14 e-mails, I believe, between you and Ms. Cynthia Morelli? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Do you recall the subject matter of these 17 e-mails? 18 A. Not without reading it. 19 Q. Okay. Prior to receiving the documents I sent 20 over earlier this week, did you recall seeing these 21 e-mails from Mrs. Morelli? 22 A. Please repeat that question. 23 (The record was read as requested.) 24 A. I can't recall. 25 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) Do you have any doubts that you</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 68</p> <p>1 capital. 2 Q. Okay. Between which parties? 3 A. Well, actually -- yeah. This would have been a 4 task that would have been called for in the Stock 5 Purchase Agreement between -- was it K-Pipe and the 6 company that it acquired. I forget that name now. 7 Q. The Bishop Group Limited? 8 A. Is that the name? I don't remember. 9 Q. Uh-huh. 10 A. And it would have been an agreement there that 11 would have provided, as I said earlier, there would have 12 been a calculation of the assets less liabilities, and 13 this would have been that calculation. So, later on 14 there would have been a true-up, so to speak. We're 15 saying that -- which is to compare what the actual 16 numbers were versus what the expected numbers were at 17 the time of closing or the estimated numbers were at the 18 time of closing. 19 Q. Okay. Do you recall the matters dealing with 20 the Butcher Interest Partnership? 21 A. Very vaguely. 22 Q. What do you recall about it? 23 A. \$6,225,000. I remember seeing that number and 24 hearing about it; but beyond that, I don't really 25 remember much about it.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 67</p> <p>1 received these e-mails from Ms. Morelli to you and that 2 you transmitted e-mails back to her? 3 A. Unless one would presume that these e-mails 4 records were fraudulently prepared, I would have to 5 presume that I did get them. 6 Q. Who was Ms. Morelli? 7 A. Based upon what I'm reading here, she was an 8 attorney at Leboeuf, Lamb. 9 Q. And it looks like the correspondence actually 10 begins on DOJ-11574. Do you see that at the bottom? I 11 think that was the first e-mail that was transmitted. 12 Yours dated -- 13 A. It says "I have spoken to"? 14 Q. Yes. 15 A. Okay. 16 Q. Have you read this e-mail? 17 A. I read it since I got the book. 18 Q. Okay. Do you recall what the subject matter of 19 the e-mail was? 20 A. Can I take a moment and just look at it again? 21 Q. Yes; yes. 22 A. It appears to have been a reconciliation of 23 working capital. 24 Q. Between -- 25 A. Or referred to a reconciliation of working</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 69</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. And there's a -- in the text there it 2 says the question is what do we have to do to monetize 3 partnership interest, which was an interest in the 4 Butcher Interest Partnership. Do you recall what that 5 may have been regarding? 6 A. Just specifically what you read. 7 Q. Okay. 8 A. How do you capture money out of it? That would 9 confirm what I said earlier which was that I didn't know 10 much about it. 11 Q. Okay. And then the reply by Ms. Morelli starts 12 on 011573? 13 A. Uh-huh. 14 Q. Do you see that? She says "The Butcher 15 Interest Partnership, you may recall, was entered into 16 at the insistence of PWC in order to add 'substance' and 17 'good facts' to this Midco transaction." Do you see 18 that? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. And then she goes on to how to monetize the 21 partnership interest. Do you recall her making those 22 comments in this e-mail? 23 A. Only when I read it now. 24 Q. Okay. Do you know -- did you ever talk to her 25 about her comments in this e-mail?</p>

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 70</p> <p>1 A. Possibly. I can't recall. 2 Q. Did you agree with her statement there about 3 the Butcher Interest Partnership? 4 A. I -- I don't think I have the ability to 5 comment on that. 6 Q. Do you have any idea how the Butcher Interest 7 Partnership -- strike that -- the Butcher Interest, how 8 that was valued by K-Pipe? 9 A. No. Or I can't recall at least at this time. 10 Q. Do you recall talking to anyone else at 11 Fortrend about Ms. Morelli's characterization of the 12 Butcher Interest Partnership? 13 A. I can't recall. 14 MR. COFFIN: Let's go off the record for a 15 second. 16 (Recess taken from 12:18 to 12:43.) 17 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) Mr. Teig, I have a few 18 follow-up questions with regard to some testimony, some 19 earlier testimony, and in relationship to SCALP, the 20 limited partnership. Back in 1999 you mentioned that 21 Mr. Furman was the general partner? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. What was his percentage interest in SCALP at 24 the time? 25 A. Approximately 70, 70.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 72</p> <p>1 A. I think in this -- can I just check one thing 2 first? 3 Q. Sure. 4 A. What was the -- oh, here it is. I believe, 5 once again based upon the document that you provided in 6 Section 321. 7 Q. Government Exhibit 321? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Uh-huh. 10 A. The Limited Partnership Agreement that SCALP 11 acquired the interests of both of the other limited 12 partners, Ashfield and Cronulla and, therefore, it 13 paid -- it acquired the interest, and since at that 14 point in time it owned 100 percent of the interest -- 15 would have owned 100 percent of the interest of K-Pipe, 16 it caused K-Pipe to pay the money on its behalf. 17 Q. Okay. 18 A. Once again, that would be my presumption. 19 Q. Sure. And the question that follows, then, why 20 would SCALP pay 1.45 million for each of those 21 partnership interests when just prior to that the 22 capital contributions were only \$48,000? 23 MR. STERN: Objection, form. 24 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) You mentioned earlier that they 25 made money on the transaction, Cronulla and Ashfield, by</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 71</p> <p>1 Q. Seventy. And you mentioned Mr. Forster was 2 also a limited partner? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. What was his percentage interest, if you 5 recall? 6 A. I don't recall. 7 Q. Okay. Was it 10 percent? 20 percent? Or more 8 like 1 or 2 percent? 9 A. I -- it was less than 20, but I don't remember 10 how much. 11 Q. Okay. And we looked at the wire transfer to 12 Ashfield and Cronulla of \$1.45 million. Do you recall 13 that? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. And we also looked at the partnership agreement 16 that showed that the -- those entities paid \$48,000 for 17 their interest in K-Pipe Holding Partners. 18 Do you recall that? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. My question is: Why would -- do you know why 21 would K-Pipe Group then pay \$1.45 million to each of 22 those entities for their interest in the limited 23 partnership? 24 A. I don't think K-Pipe did. 25 Q. Okay. Tell me who you think paid it.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 73</p> <p>1 contributing \$48,000 each? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And then later selling for \$1.45 million to 4 SCALP. So, why would SCALP pay so much more for those 5 interests in the partnerships? 6 MR. LYNCH: Objection. 7 A. I don't know. 8 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) We talked about the \$75,000 9 that you were paid from K-Pipe Group, Inc.? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Was that actually earned from K-Pipe Group, 12 Inc. or was it a payment from SCALP? 13 A. I never thought about that. 14 Q. Okay. Did you ever receive any more money from 15 K-Pipe Group, Inc.? 16 A. Not that I recall. 17 Q. Were you paid similar amounts at the closings 18 of other Midco transactions? 19 A. What do you mean by "similar amounts"? 20 Q. \$75,000. 21 A. Maybe. 22 Q. Were you paid fees -- any fees at all at the 23 closing of other Midco transactions? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. Do you remember the range of those</p>

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

HUNDT REPORTING
214-220-1122

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 74</p> <p>1 amounts?</p> <p>2 A. I think it was 5,000 to \$250,000.</p> <p>3 Q. What was the name of the entity that paid you</p> <p>4 the \$250,000?</p> <p>5 A. Ourso.</p> <p>6 Q. Spell that one again, please.</p> <p>7 A. O-U-R-S-O.</p> <p>8 Q. And when did that occur?</p> <p>9 A. '96.</p> <p>10 MR. LYNCH: Can I ask a clarifying</p> <p>11 question? In each of those transactions were those, in</p> <p>12 general, the only fees that you charged those entities?</p> <p>13 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>14 MR. LYNCH: So, it was a one-time bill.</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: One-time bill.</p> <p>16 MR. LYNCH: And what was it designed to</p> <p>17 do?</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: It was designed to pay me</p> <p>19 for any of the services I had rendered historically and</p> <p>20 any of the services I would be rendering in the future.</p> <p>21 I guess just to expound upon that one, the Ourso</p> <p>22 payment, at one point I actually did an analysis,</p> <p>23 summary, to try to, you know, go back and look at what</p> <p>24 was my actual time, you know, that I spent. And when I</p> <p>25 looked at it historically from '96 through, I think it</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 76</p> <p>1 Q. Prior to receiving, yes. I'm sorry.</p> <p>2 MR. STERN: Prior to receiving it</p> <p>3 yesterday?</p> <p>4 MR. COFFIN: Whenever --</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: Prior to receiving it this</p> <p>6 week.</p> <p>7 A. I recall preparing a memo. I can't recall</p> <p>8 specifically whether it was this exact thing or not, but</p> <p>9 I -- because my name is on it, I would presume that I</p> <p>10 did write it.</p> <p>11 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) Okay. I assume that you</p> <p>12 prepared this memo in response to a request by Ernst &</p> <p>13 Young, would that be correct?</p> <p>14 A. A general request.</p> <p>15 Q. A request for information from Ernst & Young?</p> <p>16 A. Correct.</p> <p>17 Q. And as far as you know, is this a -- is the</p> <p>18 entire memo, does it contain an accurate description</p> <p>19 about the activities of -- of K-Pipe?</p> <p>20 A. If I wrote it, it was accurate based upon what</p> <p>21 I knew or would have concluded based upon my having read</p> <p>22 documents, reading whatever it is that I needed to read</p> <p>23 in order to know that I could draw a conclusion and say</p> <p>24 these -- these pieces of information.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. Typically, what kind of things did you</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 75</p> <p>1 was 2003, I actually think I lost money on that. I got</p> <p>2 250; but when I went back and looked at all of my time</p> <p>3 from starting in '95 or '96, running right through 2003,</p> <p>4 I think I had spent something like that or I had -- I</p> <p>5 should have been billing something like that \$220,000 or</p> <p>6 \$300,000. So, I actually lost money. Although it may</p> <p>7 seem like a large number, at the end of the day, since</p> <p>8 it was for future services, also, or at least my</p> <p>9 understanding was future services, I never got another</p> <p>10 penny, it sounds good; but it's not always as good as</p> <p>11 you think.</p> <p>12 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) What was your hourly billing</p> <p>13 rate at the time?</p> <p>14 A. I can't recall at this point, but it was not an</p> <p>15 unreasonable number. It was certainly less than a</p> <p>16 national firm, less than even a Midco -- mid firm,</p> <p>17 mid-size firm. I kept it lower, both myself and the</p> <p>18 people who work for me.</p> <p>19 Q. Did you do a similar type analysis for the fees</p> <p>20 that were earned on the K-Pipe Group transaction?</p> <p>21 A. No.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. Turn to 233, please.</p> <p>23 A. (Witness complies.)</p> <p>24 Q. Are you familiar with this memorandum, sir?</p> <p>25 A. You mean prior to?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 77</p> <p>1 review?</p> <p>2 A. It could have been the Stock Purchase</p> <p>3 Agreement. It could have been the asset agreement. It</p> <p>4 could have been the working capital adjustment. It</p> <p>5 could have been the information. It could have been</p> <p>6 bank statements. It could have been various other</p> <p>7 documents, invoices. It could have been, you know,</p> <p>8 prior transactions that I would have known something.</p> <p>9 It could have been the records of SCALP that I referred</p> <p>10 to multiple times already. Could have been any one of</p> <p>11 these things, if -- if not more.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. And underneath the heading "Contribution</p> <p>13 of Assets," do you see that?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. It says "The K-Pipe Group was effectively</p> <p>16 wholly owned by a partnership, Signal Capital</p> <p>17 Associates, LP, on the closing date. SCALP's address</p> <p>18 and EIN are," and it lists those items. Now, does that</p> <p>19 conflict with what we saw earlier on the Limited</p> <p>20 Partnership Agreement? Because it looked like, in the</p> <p>21 Limited Partnership Agreement, that Ashfield and</p> <p>22 Cronulla actually owned 95 percent of K-Pipe at the</p> <p>23 time.</p> <p>24 A. Well, you have to go back to my presumption</p> <p>25 that I made earlier in response to your other questions.</p>

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

HUNDT REPORTING
214-220-1122

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 78</p> <p>1 If you recall, I said in connection with the payment of 2 the million 451 to each of Ashfield and Cronulla. I 3 indicated that it was a presumption that it was for a 4 payment for their interest in the partnership, but I 5 also indicated at that point in time that I could not 6 indicate as to when the agreement was made. I probably 7 could see it, but I don't recall seeing it or I don't 8 recall exactly what it said. But it is very possible 9 that that agreement was entered into prior to the actual 10 closing of the -- of the purchase of the stock. And 11 based upon this language here, I can only presume that 12 to be the result. 13 Q. Okay. 14 A. That the actual closing did occur before that. 15 Q. And, again, you would have looked at the 16 agreement you think at the time or what would you have 17 looked at to be able to say that that -- 18 A. Whatever was available. 19 Q. Okay. Which you can't recall specifically at 20 this time? 21 A. Correct. 22 Q. Okay. And the next item where it says "On 23 November 8" of '99? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. And it mentions -- it says "SCALP contributed</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 80</p> <p>1 Holdings, Universal Merit Securities and the Canadian 2 dollars? 3 A. Petro Holdings and Universal Merit would have 4 been the result of them -- of SCALP having assigned some 5 asset to a prior in exchange for stock in those 6 companies. So, this would have been in effect an 7 allocation the percentages specified of their ownership 8 interests that they had in each of those companies. 9 Q. Okay. And then below, it says "SCALP's 10 aggregate tax basis in the assets was \$145,411,651," and 11 then you've got the breakdown. Can you tell me how 12 those interests obtained those high tax basis? 13 A. Well, for -- for Petro and Universal, they -- 14 SCALP would have assigned some assets in exchange for 15 stock of that in a transaction which qualified for 16 Section 351 treatment, which, as a result, provides 17 carryover basis -- not only to the recipient of the 18 assets -- of the basis that they received, but also the 19 stock that the transfer gets back, also picks up the 20 carryover basis that it assigns. It basically moves 21 over to the stock. And this, therefore, would have been 22 a computation of that basis. 23 Q. Did you perform that computation? 24 A. I believe I would have, yes. 25 Q. Okay. And the same, I assume, for Universal?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 79</p> <p>1 pursuant to a plan which would qualify under Section 351 2 of the Internal Revenue Code," and it shows 45 percent 3 of SCALP's holdings in Petro Holdings, LP; 36.75 percent 4 of SCALP's holdings in Universal Merit Securities; and 5 158,620 Canadian dollars. 6 Now, are these the assets that you 7 mentioned earlier that you were involved in as far as 8 determining which ones that SCALP would contribute to 9 K-Pipe? 10 A. These were part of the assets -- 11 Q. Okay. 12 A. -- that I referred to earlier. 13 Q. Okay. And there were more assets, is that what 14 you're saying, that SCALP actually owned? 15 A. I would believe -- I can't say for sure; but I 16 believe, yes. 17 Q. Okay. And would it be other securities or 18 other forms of currency or both? 19 A. Both. 20 Q. Do you remember specifically what kind of 21 currency it was? 22 A. Either Canadian -- if they owned currency, it 23 was either Canadian dollars or British pound sterling. 24 Q. Okay. And from where would SCALP have gotten 25 those assets? Do you recall? The interest in Petro</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 81</p> <p>1 A. Correct. 2 Q. And then the Canadian dollars, tell me how that 3 generates or how that Canadian dollar amount, \$158,620 4 had such a high tax basis. 5 A. It actually goes back to a series of 6 transactions, you know, in '96, '94; and actually a lot 7 of those transactions are discussed in more detail in 8 the tax opinions that were provided. 9 Now, it -- you know, not only these, but I 10 think there were other tax opinions that were provided. 11 And, so, I had read those tax opinions, relied upon the 12 information and the conclusions reached in those 13 opinions by law firms, such as Chamberlain, Hrdlicka; 14 confirmed by Sherman & Sterling; referred to by other 15 lawyers, maybe Manatt, Phelps; Pillsbury, Madison, 16 Sutro; or whatever; and, you know, used the information 17 from those opinions to arrive, you know, to arrive at 18 the information. 19 Q. Are those -- the transactions you said, you 20 described, which generated the high tax basis in these 21 Canadian dollars, are those described in some of the tax 22 opinions that I've given to you? 23 A. I believe so. 24 Q. Can you see which ones? 25 A. If you look in your Government Section 257 --</p>

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 82</p> <p>1 actually 256 and 257 -- are they different?</p> <p>2 MR. COFFIN: Let's go off the record for a</p> <p>3 second.</p> <p>4 (Discussion off the record)</p> <p>5 A. It actually -- the transactions were really</p> <p>6 discussed in 256, 257, I think also 258 and 9. I</p> <p>7 haven't just now read, or even in conjunction with</p> <p>8 having received these, I haven't read the, you know,</p> <p>9 these opinions in detail.</p> <p>10 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) Okay.</p> <p>11 A. There was also another opinion that I recall</p> <p>12 having seen that described the transaction, and I</p> <p>13 thought that was written -- I think that was January in</p> <p>14 '87. I thought it was written sometime after that.</p> <p>15 Q. Do you recall who was the author of that</p> <p>16 opinion?</p> <p>17 A. I can't recall absolutely at this point.</p> <p>18 Q. Look at 258. Did you say written after January</p> <p>19 of '97 or did you say --</p> <p>20 A. I believe so.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay.</p> <p>22 A. Okay.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. There's still another tax opinion you</p> <p>24 think?</p> <p>25 A. I believe so.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 84</p> <p>1 we're looking -- that we need to have -- we need SCALP</p> <p>2 to contribute assets with -- that were produced with a</p> <p>3 tax basis for capital purposes of approximately maybe</p> <p>4 \$105 million or \$106 million, and something that will</p> <p>5 produce ordinary losses or at least have ordinary</p> <p>6 characteristics of some number like 41 million or</p> <p>7 40,000,500 or 40 million or something like that. And,</p> <p>8 so, he would have given me some number, you know; and I</p> <p>9 would have had to have worked to see -- I would have had</p> <p>10 to go through the inventory to see what would have made</p> <p>11 sense to fit those parameters.</p> <p>12 Q. Do you recall in the inventory what the total</p> <p>13 amount of tax basis was available?</p> <p>14 A. At the time of this?</p> <p>15 Q. Yes.</p> <p>16 A. No.</p> <p>17 Q. At one time was there over \$800 million of tax</p> <p>18 basis available, according to that inventory?</p> <p>19 A. I don't think -- I don't think it ever reached</p> <p>20 800.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. 793 million?</p> <p>22 A. I saw the 793 in one of the opinions by</p> <p>23 Chamberlain, but I actually thought that the number that</p> <p>24 I had used at one point in keeping books and records was</p> <p>25 closer to 750; but it was somewhere between 750 and 793.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 83</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. It was dated sometime after January of</p> <p>2 '97?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. And who did you say was the author? I'm sorry.</p> <p>5 A. I can't recall.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. Could it have been Chamberlain or any of</p> <p>7 those law firms you mentioned earlier?</p> <p>8 A. It most certainly would have been one of those</p> <p>9 firms I mentioned.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. Now, the -- again, at the bottom of 233.</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. The amount of the tax basis and the assets that</p> <p>13 I believe you -- was \$145,411,651, and is that</p> <p>14 something -- an amount that Mr. Furman or Mr. Hoffman</p> <p>15 would have given you saying "We need this much tax basis</p> <p>16 in certain assets to be contributed over to K-Pipe"?</p> <p>17 A. No, not like that.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. How was the instruction typically given</p> <p>19 from Mr. Furman and Mr. Hoffman?</p> <p>20 A. It would have been something -- just using</p> <p>21 these numbers as a guide, okay?</p> <p>22 Q. Uh-huh.</p> <p>23 A. They would have, for example, in this case,</p> <p>24 most likely, as I said earlier, that I communicated with</p> <p>25 Mr. Hoffman, he may have said to me that, you know,</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 85</p> <p>1 I don't have those records anymore, so I can't verify</p> <p>2 that.</p> <p>3 Q. And, again, the origination of the basis of</p> <p>4 that -- that amount of basis was lease-strip</p> <p>5 transactions that occurred in the past?</p> <p>6 A. My recollection is yes.</p> <p>7 Q. Now, the 145 million of basis, again, now, is</p> <p>8 there any relationship to that figure, the 145 million,</p> <p>9 and the amounts that were wired to each Cronulla and</p> <p>10 Ashfield International?</p> <p>11 A. I don't believe there were.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. Because the amounts that were wired were</p> <p>13 1,451,000 which would indicate maybe 1 percent each.</p> <p>14 A. I can only stand by what I said.</p> <p>15 Q. You mentioned capital loss and ordinary loss.</p> <p>16 The Canadian dollars, the conversion of that amount</p> <p>17 under the Tax Code result in ordinary losses?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. And the other two Petro and Universal</p> <p>20 would result in capital losses --</p> <p>21 A. Correct.</p> <p>22 Q. -- on the sale of those?</p> <p>23 A. Correct.</p> <p>24 Q. On the next page under the heading "Disposition</p> <p>25 of Assets," other than I guess -- when those assets were</p>

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

HUNDT REPORTING
214-220-1122

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 86</p> <p>1 disposed, the resulting loss was a tax loss; is that 2 correct? 3 A. Correct. 4 Q. Okay. Was there any other purpose for the sale 5 of those assets that you know of? 6 MR. STERN: Objection, form. 7 A. My understanding was that there was a goal to, 8 at the time of the contribution, to provide extra 9 capital to the company. So, as you can see from the 10 journal entry, there was approximately \$700,000 of cash 11 provided to that company to be able to use to spend 12 however it felt it needed it. So, that would have been 13 its objective, and it would have been achieved by that. 14 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) Okay. And how do you know 15 that? Do you recall talking to somebody about that? 16 A. I remember conversations with Mr. Furman and 17 Forster. 18 Q. At that period of time hadn't the sale of the 19 assets to Midcoast already occurred as of December of 20 '99? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. So, wouldn't K-Pipe have had those funds or any 23 profit, arbitragized profit you mentioned earlier, to 24 the working cash considerations? 25 MR. STERN: Objection, form.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 88</p> <p>1 Q. Oh, okay. Well, are you aware of the owners or 2 principals of Riversea Corp.? 3 A. Only hearsay. 4 Q. Okay. What do you know about that? 5 A. I had been led to believe that the owners were 6 that Robinson group of parties that you mentioned, 7 Robinson Renterio, Robinson Trust. 8 Q. And I think -- did you testify that that was 9 your -- it's your belief that that was associated with 10 an Indian tribe? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Robinson Ranchero Citizens Council or Robinson 13 Trust Company? Any association with either Mr. Furman 14 or Mr. Forster? 15 A. I do not believe so. 16 Q. Did you perform any accounting work for 17 Riversea Corp.? 18 A. No. 19 Q. Were you aware that Larry Austin had some 20 association with Riversea Corp.? 21 A. What do you mean by "association"? 22 Q. Did you have a contact at Riversea Corp. that 23 was Larry Austin? 24 A. I had indicated earlier that -- at least I 25 believe I indicated earlier that Mr. Austin was how I</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 87</p> <p>1 A. Presumably they had some assets -- some 2 liquidity value, but they obviously felt they needed 3 more. 4 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) With regard to the journal 5 entries at the top of that second page, the comment you 6 made is "The fair market value of the Petro and 7 Universal assets has been based upon the values realized 8 later in the year since no appraisals of values were 9 obtained at the time of the contribution." 10 Was there a reason why no appraisals were 11 obtained? 12 A. They just weren't. 13 Q. Was there an instruction to not get appraisals 14 or just no instruction at all? 15 A. I believe the latter. 16 Q. And we discussed the JRCR Corp. and Riversea 17 Corp. I think earlier in your testimony. 18 Does this -- looking at this, does this 19 refresh your recollection at all as to who may have been 20 the principals or owners of either of those 21 corporations? 22 A. Which ones? 23 Q. Riversea Corp. and JRCR Corp.? 24 A. JRCR, no. I don't think you ever asked me 25 about Riversea.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 89</p> <p>1 learned of Riversea. 2 Q. Okay. You may have. Your memory is better 3 than mine. 4 Did Mr. Austin represent Riversea? 5 A. Other than, as I indicated earlier, I don't -- 6 I don't know if he did or did not. At least I don't 7 recall. 8 Q. Mr. Austin is an attorney; is that correct? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Okay. So, when I said "represented," I meant 11 in that capacity. 12 A. I don't know what capacity he may or may not 13 have represented Riversea. Or I can't recall at this 14 time would probably be better to say. 15 Q. And the cash activities on the third page of 16 Government Exhibit 233, do you recall where you would 17 have gotten this information? 18 A. It would have -- as you had asked me earlier, 19 it would have started off from the bank statement 20 because you had asked me if I had ever seen any bank 21 statements, and this would have come from the bank 22 statement in combination with other information that I 23 need to support the transactions that were listed here, 24 me thinking that each cash movement is ultimately a 25 transaction.</p>

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 90</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. There's an entry in the middle of the 2 page "Cash 10,039,536" that's a debit and then a credit 3 to cash State Street Bank for the same amount. Do you 4 recall what the purpose of that entry was or transfer 5 was? 6 A. No, I do not. 7 Q. Did K-Pipe maintain a bank account at State 8 Street Bank? 9 A. I don't recall. I don't know if I knew. 10 Q. On the final page of that document at the top 11 you say "The above cash transactions result in a cash 12 balance of \$1,214,473." Do you know whatever happened 13 to that cash balance? 14 A. No. Well, today I don't know. 15 Q. Back then you may have known, I guess? 16 A. I may have known only because, as you know from 17 later in the years, the year 2000, I prepared the tax 18 return. So, one presumably would assume that I, in 19 preparing that tax return, would have had information to 20 support the decline of that balance of a million 214 at 21 the end of that year. 22 Q. Okay. Turn to Government Exhibit 234. 23 MR. LYNCH: I thought that's where we 24 were. 25 THE WITNESS: We were at 33. Where is 34?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 92</p> <p>1 requested certain information, and then you e-mailed 2 them back on August 30 with an attachment containing 3 responses. Would you agree that these are your 4 responses to the their e-mail? 5 A. I think it's logical to conclude that. 6 Q. Unfortunately, the memo is dated December 5th 7 of 2003. 8 A. I can't explain that. 9 Q. Okay. Can you still conclude, though, that 10 this is probably your response to their earlier request? 11 A. I think so, and I can't find a reason why it's 12 possible to have had a December 5, 2003 date. In some 13 cases it's happened to me before, and I can only presume 14 that it happened here is I had an auto update, some 15 feature of Word, to provide me an auto update of dates. 16 And when I last opened it or saved it might have been 17 this date. 18 Q. Okay. Did the IRS -- has the IRS requested 19 information from you or documents recently in 20 conjunction with this examination? 21 MR. STERN: What examination? 22 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) The examination of Midcoast. 23 A. Midcoast? 24 Q. Uh-huh. 25 A. I don't think I've ever heard from the IRS</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 91</p> <p>1 MR. LYNCH: I don't have a 34. 2 A. I don't have a 34. 3 MR. COFFIN: You don't? 4 THE WITNESS: Somebody better go back -- 5 you must have left it in Chicago. 6 MR. LYNCH: I don't think I did. Not this 7 one. 8 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) I only have one question on 9 this document. Do you recall receiving this document? 10 A. I cannot recall at this time. 11 Q. When you got a request like this, how would you 12 usually proceed in responding? 13 A. I would have used every effort possible to me, 14 as I would with anyone asking me questions, and provide 15 the information. 16 Q. Would you have taken it to Mr. Furman or 17 Mr. Austin or Mr. Forster? 18 A. Whoever was necessary. 19 MR. LYNCH: It's right here. These are 20 some supplemental documents. I apologize. 21 MR. COFFIN: That's okay. Just put it in 22 that binder. 23 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) Look at Government Exhibit 235. 24 A. (Witness complies.) 25 Q. And then turning back to 234 where E&Y had</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 93</p> <p>1 other than this -- other than this matter. 2 Q. Okay. What about the exam of K-Pipe Group? 3 Did you get a request for information from the IRS? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. And did you provide documents? 6 A. I would have to go back and refer to my 7 testimony of 2003; but as I recall from my reading of 8 that just this week, is that I had limited amount of 9 information at that point in time to submit. 10 Q. Since then have you found any more information? 11 A. No. 12 Q. On the memorandum, Item No. 5 and 6, you advise 13 that SCALP acquired Petro Holdings, LP during July of 14 '99 and that SCALP acquired Universal Merit Securities 15 during June of '99. Could you tell me from where did 16 SCALP acquire those interests? 17 A. I can't remember who from. 18 Q. Could you tell me what kind of transactions? 19 Were they purchase transactions or 351 transactions? 20 A. The fact that there was, from the earlier memo, 21 the fact that there was basis of whatever the amounts 22 were that added up to the 145 total approximate or 105 23 with respect to these two in total, would lead me to 24 believe that there was, at a minimum, a contribution of 25 assets by SCALP into these entities. Was there also</p>

24 (Pages 90 to 93)

HUNDT REPORTING
214-220-1122

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 94</p> <p>1 acquisition of these companies separate and apart from 2 that? I can't recall. 3 Q. Item No. 10 on the next page talking about the 4 allocation of the proceeds among the various assets, do 5 you see that? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Can you tell me why is that important? 8 A. Because the government in -- the government, in 9 their rules and regulations, requires one to report your 10 proceeds on Schedule D or 4797 or wherever else within 11 your tax return when you -- when you sell an asset. So, 12 this was basically provided to E&Y to allow them to 13 follow the government regulations. 14 Q. Was the allocation ever determined, to your 15 recollection? 16 A. I can't recall. 17 Q. Do you remember if you assisted in providing 18 the allocation at all? 19 A. I would not have done that. It would have only 20 been third-party information provided to me, if I had it 21 ever, and I can't recall at this time if I ever saw it. 22 By the way, in fact, as confirmation of 23 that, there was an e-mail in the package that you sent 24 me that basically said -- it was an e-mail from E&Y to 25 me asking me for the 8594, which is the schedule where</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 96</p> <p>1 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) Yeah, 240 is one as well. 2 A. 240 is also -- it appears to be, you know, all 3 that, just part of the give and take back and forth 4 conversations between myself and E&Y. 5 Q. All right. Did you look at 232 as well? I 6 just want to know if it's the same type of stuff. 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. All right. One of the e-mails, 232 talks about 9 Mr. Hoffman's departure from Fortrend. Do you recall 10 Mr. Hoffman leaving Fortrend? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Do you recall what the circumstances were 13 surrounding his departure? 14 A. He chose to go off into a new venture. He was 15 working with -- in some capacity in a -- I guess it's a 16 type of equity hedge fund. 17 Q. Okay. Turn to 238, please. 18 A. Where is it? 19 MR. LYNCH: Hold on just a second. Here 20 it is. 21 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) This looks like e-mail 22 correspondence between you, Mr. Furman, Mr. Forster, 23 Alice Dill, Lily Young and Larry Austin; is that 24 correct? 25 A. Correct.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 95</p> <p>1 you show the allocation. And I had said I never had it, 2 I never got it; and that's just basically a summary of 3 the e-mails. I never saw it. So, at that time I can 4 only respond, you know, that that was what happened. 5 Q. Okay. Do you recall -- if you'll flip through 6 the next pages, can you tell me which e-mail it was? 7 A. Excuse me? 8 Q. If you will flip through the next exhibits, I'm 9 sorry. 10 A. Which exhibits? 11 MR. STERN: What are they? I don't have 12 your notebook. 13 MR. LYNCH: 237, 239. 14 MR. COFFIN: 232 as well. 15 A. Well, it appears on 236 and 237 that -- and 16 239 -- let me hold off on 239 for a second because I've 17 got to look at that -- but on 236 and 237 it appears 18 these are e-mail communications between myself and E&Y 19 in which they've asked me for information. I've 20 provided responses or at least tried to discuss things 21 that they've inquired about. 22 239, let me look at it. 239 effectively 23 is a narration between E&Y and myself in their 24 preparation of their -- of the tax return for 1999, as 25 is the next section. You said 240?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 97</p> <p>1 Q. Who is Alice Dill? 2 A. She at the time was a paralegal who did work 3 for Fortrend. 4 Q. Did she have a lawyer she was working with as 5 well at Fortrend or just -- 6 A. Just. 7 Q. Just herself. Okay. What about Lily Young? 8 A. Lily did bookkeeping work for Fred Forster. 9 Q. Have you had a chance to look at the text of 10 your e-mail? 11 A. Uh-huh. 12 Q. Okay. Had there been -- was there a problem 13 from -- in getting a response from Mr. Furman or 14 Mr. Forster? 15 A. Well, only -- based upon the first sentence, I 16 would obviously have to say yes. It says I sent a 17 couple of e-mail -- I sent a couple of messages. The 18 fact that I sent a couple prior to this means that they 19 didn't respond, meaning that there must have been some 20 problem. Could have been anything. They just chose to 21 ignore me. It's possible. 22 Q. With regard to the true-up, was that something 23 that you recall discussing with them? 24 A. At some point. 25 Q. You do recall?</p>

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

HUNDT REPORTING
214-220-1122

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 98</p> <p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Was that ever resolved between Midcoast and</p> <p>3 Fortrend?</p> <p>4 A. I can't -- I can't remember. Was it with</p> <p>5 Midcoast? I'm not -- was it with Midcoast? I don't</p> <p>6 recall if it was even with Midcoast or with the sellers</p> <p>7 of the shares, which is not Midcoast.</p> <p>8 MR. STERN: Or both.</p> <p>9 A. Or both, yeah. Actually I guess when -- when</p> <p>10 you read --</p> <p>11 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) The second paragraph says "I</p> <p>12 need to know whether you intend to pay the amount due to</p> <p>13 Midcoast Energy." Is that the true-up amount or a</p> <p>14 true-up amount?</p> <p>15 A. One second. Let me read this again.</p> <p>16 When I read this, and then I recall from</p> <p>17 something else, there was actually two true-ups. There</p> <p>18 was a true-up on the stock purchase and a true-up on the</p> <p>19 asset agreement. And since at the time K-Pipe owned --</p> <p>20 I think you said it was Bishop -- it would have handled</p> <p>21 the true-up with Midcoast and it also would have handled</p> <p>22 the true-up with the sellers of Bishop. So, it was</p> <p>23 effectively in the middle, thus Midco, between the two</p> <p>24 parties in negotiating of both true-ups in trying to</p> <p>25 resolve them, and this was part of it.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 100</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. And then from SCALP it went to pay</p> <p>2 Ashfield and Cronulla?</p> <p>3 A. Correct.</p> <p>4 Q. Go to 246, please. This appears to be some</p> <p>5 more e-mail correspondence between you and Bruce Snyder</p> <p>6 of Ernst & Young, correct?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. Dated?</p> <p>9 A. September 14th.</p> <p>10 Q. September 14th, 2000; and you say you spoke to</p> <p>11 Fred Forster. "His thought is not to make the election</p> <p>12 to forego the carryback. There is no intention to use</p> <p>13 the carryback either forward or back and Fortrend</p> <p>14 recognizes the audit risk associated with the carryback.</p> <p>15 However, he sees no benefit making the election now."</p> <p>16 Do you remember what this was about?</p> <p>17 A. Sure.</p> <p>18 Q. How was the carryback generated?</p> <p>19 A. It would have been the -- the tax return for</p> <p>20 1999 that E&Y prepared. That, based upon this, that</p> <p>21 return showed a loss on Page 28; and at the time of that</p> <p>22 loss, you automatically assumed under IRS laws that you</p> <p>23 carried the loss back until unless you make a formal</p> <p>24 election to carry it forward. And, so, this was a</p> <p>25 request by E&Y as to how to proceed, whether to just let</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 99</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. And in either instance do you recall if</p> <p>2 the true-up was ever resolved between the two parties?</p> <p>3 A. I can't recall.</p> <p>4 Q. Give me just a second here. On 239 this is</p> <p>5 more requests and discussion with Ernst & Young</p> <p>6 between -- between you and Ernst & Young; is that</p> <p>7 correct?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. In the middle of the page it says "I have also</p> <p>10 reduced retained earnings for the 2,902,000 distribution</p> <p>11 to SCALP." Was there a 2,902,000 distribution to SCALP?</p> <p>12 A. Absolutely, and I described it earlier. It's</p> <p>13 twice the million 451 that was ultimately paid. As you</p> <p>14 recall, I indicated that the payment was not made by</p> <p>15 K-Pipe but was effectively, you know, to Cronulla and</p> <p>16 Ashfield, the million 451, that the payment actually</p> <p>17 came by SCALP and it physically may have come out at the</p> <p>18 direction of SCALP out of K-Pipe. This e-mail is</p> <p>19 basically confirming that. Million 451 times two is</p> <p>20 2 million 902.</p> <p>21 Q. But it says "distribution to SCALP."</p> <p>22 A. Sure.</p> <p>23 Q. I see.</p> <p>24 A. Because SCALP was the sole owner at the time,</p> <p>25 and therefore -- it's an obligation of SCALP.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 101</p> <p>1 it -- not to say anything and, therefore, have it</p> <p>2 effectively carryback first, then carry forward or to</p> <p>3 elect the -- the waiver to carry it back.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. And then it says "There is no intention</p> <p>5 to use the carryback either forward or back."</p> <p>6 A. Uh-huh.</p> <p>7 Q. And why is that? Or why wouldn't they elect to</p> <p>8 use it either forward or back?</p> <p>9 A. Because they probably were not expecting to</p> <p>10 have sufficient -- to pay income taxes that would have</p> <p>11 generated the need to get money back. So, the loss is</p> <p>12 effectively non-usable against anything.</p> <p>13 Q. Could it not be carried back into the</p> <p>14 operational income of the Bishop group?</p> <p>15 A. It -- I would have to think -- probably could</p> <p>16 have been, but there was a decision made.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. It says "Fortrend recognizes the audit</p> <p>18 risk associated with the carryback." What's the audit</p> <p>19 risk?</p> <p>20 A. If you file a carryback claim and ask for</p> <p>21 income taxes in excess of \$1 million from the Internal</p> <p>22 Revenue Service, it's subject to an automatic review by</p> <p>23 the Joint Committee, which is a very in-depth audit of</p> <p>24 the company; and it's an automatic audit. And, so, they</p> <p>25 decided there was -- they were not going to put</p>

26 (Pages 98 to 101)

HUNDT REPORTING

214-220-1122

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 102</p> <p>1 themselves subject to that.</p> <p>2 Q. Look at 254. That's the tax return of K-Pipe</p> <p>3 Group, Inc. for 1999; and you mentioned that the</p> <p>4 carryback was listed on -- a loss is on certain pages of</p> <p>5 the return?</p> <p>6 A. I've got a section with nothing in it. I have</p> <p>7 a 2000 return.</p> <p>8 Q. Say that again?</p> <p>9 A. I thought later on I had a 2000 return. Wait a</p> <p>10 minute. Maybe not. No, you know something? It was put</p> <p>11 after 259.</p> <p>12 Q. So the tab was in the wrong place?</p> <p>13 A. No. There's some stuff on 259, and then they</p> <p>14 put in the return after 259. The return should actually</p> <p>15 be under 249, I guess.</p> <p>16 Q. Take a look at 254, please, which, I believe is</p> <p>17 the return, correct?</p> <p>18 A. I'm going to put it in the right place. Bear</p> <p>19 with me. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. Now, you mentioned there is the loss,</p> <p>21 the carryback loss or the loss that could have been</p> <p>22 carried back or carried forward?</p> <p>23 A. Oh, actually -- I guess looking at the '99</p> <p>24 return --</p> <p>25 MR. LYNCH: Hold on a second.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 104</p> <p>1 carried forward. Based upon the e-mail that you</p> <p>2 originally pointed me to, it appears that E&Y was asking</p> <p>3 me about that because the amount on Page 1 of the return</p> <p>4 is not a loss. So, therefore, they must have been</p> <p>5 thinking about the capital. I did not think the rules</p> <p>6 applied to capital losses, and there was only ordinary.</p> <p>7 But, you know -- you know -- now I forget what the</p> <p>8 question was.</p> <p>9 Q. So, at the time -- at the time of the e-mail</p> <p>10 was -- who was correct at that time? Was E&Y correct</p> <p>11 about the ability to carry forward --</p> <p>12 A. I'm not going to judge whether they were right</p> <p>13 or wrong.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay.</p> <p>15 A. As I told you, I'm not -- maybe I was -- I</p> <p>16 already said in the beginning, I may have been wrong in</p> <p>17 my recollection of what the law was at that time about</p> <p>18 this issue.</p> <p>19 Q. But in any event you would have talked to</p> <p>20 Mr. Forster about that?</p> <p>21 A. I said it in the e-mail, so I must have.</p> <p>22 Q. So, there was some misunderstanding between you</p> <p>23 and E&Y at the time?</p> <p>24 A. That's my thought today. Was that my thought</p> <p>25 back then? I can't recall.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 103</p> <p>1 A. I don't -- I didn't think you could carry back</p> <p>2 capital -- I don't think you can do the waiver of</p> <p>3 capital losses. I thought that was an automatic. I</p> <p>4 thought it was only operating -- I'm not sure whether --</p> <p>5 my understanding of the tax law, maybe I'm wrong on</p> <p>6 this, is that the ability to waive the carryback was</p> <p>7 with expected operating losses, the amounts reflected on</p> <p>8 Line 29. And yet it appears on -- on this return that</p> <p>9 on Schedule D that there was a capital loss reflected</p> <p>10 here. I did not think that that ability to carry back</p> <p>11 or waive -- or the ability to forego the carryback</p> <p>12 applied to capital losses. I could be wrong on that.</p> <p>13 Maybe that's what E&Y was pointing out that issue.</p> <p>14 Maybe there was an ability to carry back capital losses</p> <p>15 that either I knew at that time or I never knew existed.</p> <p>16 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) Okay.</p> <p>17 A. If you recall also from another e-mail, if you</p> <p>18 recall from another e-mail in this whole traffic, I said</p> <p>19 I never saw the tax returns before they were finalized.</p> <p>20 So, I would never have known what these numbers were.</p> <p>21 Q. I see. So, I guess I'm a little unclear then.</p> <p>22 Was there an ability to carry back or carry forward this</p> <p>23 capital loss on Schedule D of the return?</p> <p>24 A. My understanding was that capital losses have</p> <p>25 to be carried back, and then whatever is not used,</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 105</p> <p>1 Q. I think you answered my question. Did you ever</p> <p>2 review this return before it was filed?</p> <p>3 A. No. I said that there was even an e-mail</p> <p>4 confirming that I had not seen the return -- somewhere</p> <p>5 in this packet there's an e-mail confirming that -- or</p> <p>6 this one -- that I never saw the return itself before it</p> <p>7 was finalized.</p> <p>8 Q. Do you know if anybody else at Fortrend would</p> <p>9 have reviewed the -- the tax return before it was filed?</p> <p>10 A. The answer would have been only Mr. Austin. He</p> <p>11 would have received it on what I saw on September 15th.</p> <p>12 If he chose to look at it that day, he may have as the,</p> <p>13 you know, officer of the company. I -- I don't know</p> <p>14 whether he did or did not. I don't believe anyone else</p> <p>15 at Fortrend would have handled that.</p> <p>16 Q. Look at Government Exhibit 249, please.</p> <p>17 A. (Witness complies.)</p> <p>18 Q. Prior to me sending you this document,</p> <p>19 Mr. Teig, had you had a chance to -- or had you seen</p> <p>20 this document before?</p> <p>21 A. Perhaps.</p> <p>22 Q. Would somebody have asked you to review it?</p> <p>23 A. I would presume that they would have, but I</p> <p>24 just can't recall whether I saw it or not.</p> <p>25 Q. Do you know who would have requested it?</p>

27 (Pages 102 to 105)

HUNDT REPORTING
214-220-1122

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 106</p> <p>1 A. Who would have requested that I saw it? Is 2 that your question? That I reviewed it, I mean? 3 I would presume Mr. Austin or Mr. Furman; 4 but I can't be sure because, as I said, I don't recall 5 if I saw it. 6 Q. And it's signed by Mr. Furman. Do you 7 recognize his signature on the back page? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Okay. Do you think it was prepared in 10 conjunction with the Midco transaction at issue here? 11 A. It was prepared in conjunction with Manatt 12 Phelps having issued an opinion and asking for 13 representation of the facts that were incorporated into 14 their opinion. 15 Q. And with regard to their opinion, which is in 16 Government Exhibit 250, would that have been prepared -- 17 well, let me back up. Would you have reviewed the tax 18 opinion, too, at the time, in November of 2000? 19 A. I can presume that I would have. 20 Q. And who from Fortrend would have requested that 21 Manatt prepare this tax return? 22 A. Either Furman or Forster. 23 Q. Do you know what purpose or why they would make 24 such a request? 25 A. Oh, absolutely. Just as I indicated to you</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 108</p> <p>1 145,411,651. Do you recall what the difference would 2 be? 3 A. Well, the answer is "no." 4 Q. And you could see the difference in the 5 individual amounts assigned to each asset, if you 6 compared the two documents. 7 A. Right. I recalled this question was asked of 8 me in 2003 by the Internal Revenue Service, and it had a 9 similar answer at that point in time that I could not 10 explain the difference at this time -- at that time, and 11 that holds true today. 12 Q. All right. And Item No. 3 on 249 still, "Both 13 Parent and Subsidiary had substantial non-tax business 14 reasons for engaging in the Contribution." 15 What was the non-tax business reason? 16 A. Well, as I had indicated earlier, it was the 17 view of both parties to provide additional capital to 18 the company to be able to pay for expenses, current and 19 future, that expected to be incurred. There may have 20 been others, but those are the ones that I recall or 21 that's the one I recall. 22 Q. It says both "Parent and Subsidiary entered 23 into the Contribution with a view toward making an 24 economic profit apart from tax consequences." 25 Do you know what that economic profit was</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 107</p> <p>1 that I would like to rely upon opinions and that I have 2 done so in computing the basis and that I referred to 3 the Chamberlain opinions that we went back and looked 4 at. So, too, Mr. Furman and Forster would have wanted 5 to make sure that what they were doing was in compliance 6 with tax law and they would have wanted confirmation of 7 that from a third party, a party such as a law firm who 8 was able to render an opinion that the facts and 9 circumstances were correct and that they could rely upon 10 the transaction that they -- the transactions that they 11 did in, you know, in producing the tax returns that E&Y 12 produced so that the numbers were properly reflected. 13 Q. Okay. Go back to 249, please, which is the 14 representation letter. 15 A. Uh-huh. 16 Q. Item No. 2 deals with the basis of the assets 17 that were contributed to SCALP; is that correct? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. And the amount -- 20 A. Contributed by SCALP. 21 Q. Contributed by SCALP. I'm sorry. And the 22 amount shows a total of \$138,666,295 do you see that? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Then you go back to 233, and the tax basis that 25 you had listed in your memo of these three assets was</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 109</p> <p>1 that was anticipated? 2 A. I can't be sure. 3 Q. And these documents, including the tax 4 opinions, were drafted in November of 2000 over a year 5 after the Midco transaction at issue in this case 6 closed? 7 A. I'm sorry. Please state it again. 8 MR. LYNCH: He's just pointing to the date 9 of the opinions. 10 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) The dates of the opinions were 11 more than a year after the Midco transaction had closed 12 in this case. 13 A. Uh-huh. 14 Q. Do you know why so far into the future the 15 opinion was obtained? 16 A. No. Or at least I don't recall at this time. 17 Q. Okay. And I'm sorry. Back on 249, Item 12 it 18 says "The Contribution occurred under a plan agreed upon 19 before the Contribution in which the rights of the 20 parties were defined." Do you know what the plan was? 21 A. It was a plan of contribution. 22 Q. Was there a written plan that you know of? 23 A. I believe there should have been. I would 24 expect there would have been. 25 Q. Okay. Do you recall seeing one?</p>

28 (Pages 106 to 109)

HUNDT REPORTING
214-220-1122

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 110</p> <p>1 A. I can't recall.</p> <p>2 Q. And the tax opinion, 250, did you rely on that</p> <p>3 opinion for any reason?</p> <p>4 A. Well, ultimately -- well, no, as I said, I</p> <p>5 can't -- it's unclear to me about the difference of the</p> <p>6 numbers that we spoke about. But in general, I guess in</p> <p>7 conversing with E&Y, as you pointed out, this opinion is</p> <p>8 dated after the return was filed. I probably had had</p> <p>9 conversations -- I would have thought I would have had</p> <p>10 conversations with people at Mannat Phelps to understand</p> <p>11 that they were comfortable with the transaction and that</p> <p>12 the issuance of the opinion was somewhat of a formality</p> <p>13 at that point in time. I believe I would have been</p> <p>14 uncomfortable providing information to E&Y that would</p> <p>15 result in something contrary to what I had -- where the</p> <p>16 opinion would have had a contrary result to what I had</p> <p>17 known.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. 252, please. Do you recall the subject</p> <p>19 matter of this e-mail between you and Mr. Austin?</p> <p>20 A. I have a vague recollection of E&Y having</p> <p>21 messed up somewhere, but that it didn't provide -- it</p> <p>22 didn't result in any bottom line error, "bottom line"</p> <p>23 being tax liability being due. Thus, my reference to</p> <p>24 CYA.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. So, you don't recall whether or not --</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 112</p> <p>1 Mr. Austin would have asked me about it.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. And the last sentence says "Instead by</p> <p>3 receipt of this letter you have my assurance that the</p> <p>4 amount of the capital loss carryover or carryback will</p> <p>5 be adjusted by the exact amount of approximately</p> <p>6 69 million duplication amount to the correct and</p> <p>7 appropriate amount."</p> <p>8 A. Which is my reference from -- using my numbers</p> <p>9 from 90 down do 21.</p> <p>10 Q. Was that correction ever made or adjusted?</p> <p>11 A. The only way I can verify this at this time is</p> <p>12 if I go and look at the 2000 return that you've included</p> <p>13 somewhere in this package that I prepared, and that</p> <p>14 would most likely indicate.</p> <p>15 Q. Yeah, I don't think it's in there.</p> <p>16 A. Maybe it was '99. I thought there was some</p> <p>17 return somewhere that I prepared. Maybe it was in this</p> <p>18 packet.</p> <p>19 MR. STERN: I think it was in that</p> <p>20 package. We tried to give him more information just so</p> <p>21 he could be fully informed.</p> <p>22 MR. COFFIN: Let's take a restroom break.</p> <p>23 (Recess taken from 1:55 to 2:07.)</p> <p>24 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) Okay. Let's go to Government</p> <p>25 Exhibit 321, please. And we've looked at this several</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 111</p> <p>1 it appears they double counted basis of 69 million. So,</p> <p>2 would that have resulted -- would that increase the --</p> <p>3 the loss or --</p> <p>4 A. Well, if you recall, there was a capital loss</p> <p>5 somewhere -- I think there was a capital gain of 13,000</p> <p>6 in 1999 and a capital loss of 103. If I presume that's</p> <p>7 correct, that's a 90 million-dollar net capital loss.</p> <p>8 I'm presuming what they are saying is that the 69 comes</p> <p>9 off of the 103 -- off the 90 resulting -- and there</p> <p>10 should have only been a \$21 million net capital loss</p> <p>11 carryover into the future years.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay.</p> <p>13 A. As I said, thus the reference of CYA.</p> <p>14 Q. Do you have 253?</p> <p>15 A. Yes, now I do.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. This is a letter dated October 10 of</p> <p>17 2000 signed by Larry Austin to Bruce Snyder of Ernst &</p> <p>18 Young; and it appears that this is what resulted from</p> <p>19 the conversations you had with E&Y in the prior exhibit,</p> <p>20 the e-mail?</p> <p>21 A. Uh-huh. It seems to match up identically to</p> <p>22 what I just went through without even realizing it.</p> <p>23 Q. Would you have reviewed this document before</p> <p>24 Mr. Austin signed it?</p> <p>25 A. Maybe. It's not illogical to think that</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 113</p> <p>1 times. Mr. Teig, would you have had occasion to review</p> <p>2 this document back in 1999?</p> <p>3 A. I might have seen it.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. Who would draft something like this? Do</p> <p>5 you know?</p> <p>6 A. A lawyer.</p> <p>7 Q. Do you know which lawyer it could have been?</p> <p>8 A. Not definitely.</p> <p>9 Q. Would it have been somebody from one of the</p> <p>10 firms you just mentioned or you mentioned earlier?</p> <p>11 A. I would presume. I would presume it would have</p> <p>12 been someone from Lebouef, Lamb; but I may not be right.</p> <p>13 Q. But you do recall reviewing this document; is</p> <p>14 that correct?</p> <p>15 A. I don't recall reviewing it. It's very</p> <p>16 possible I have seen it. And I'm not sure what you mean</p> <p>17 by "reviewing it."</p> <p>18 Q. Well, did you have occasion to look at it; and</p> <p>19 for what reason would you have looked at it?</p> <p>20 A. Just as far as recordkeeping activity,</p> <p>21 functions go that I mentioned, or providing information</p> <p>22 to E&Y.</p> <p>23 Q. Do you know if the \$48,000 contributed by</p> <p>24 Ashfield and Cronulla would have been deposited in a</p> <p>25 bank account?</p>

29 (Pages 110 to 113)

HUNDT REPORTING
214-220-1122

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 114</p> <p>1 A. No idea or no recollection. I don't know 2 which. 3 Q. All right. Now we're to the Government 4 Exhibit 255, please. We're going to go back to the 5 early '90 years or middle '90 years with these exhibits. 6 This particular tax opinion by Chamberlain, Hrdlicka to 7 DWJ&R Leasing, L.P. Tell me again who DWJ&R Leasing, 8 L.P. was. 9 A. It was a partnership. 10 Q. Is it the predecessor to SCALP? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Okay. And in '94, did you have occasion to 13 review this particular opinion? 14 A. I know I saw it. I don't know if I -- in '94 15 if I reviewed the opinion itself. 16 Q. Okay. 17 A. I would have -- hold on a second. 18 Q. Let me put it this -- 19 A. I think I would have reviewed some aspects of 20 the opinion. 21 Q. Okay. Would you have reviewed it -- would you 22 have relied upon it in performing services for K-Pipe or 23 SCALP with regard to this -- the Midco transaction at 24 issue here? 25 A. Ultimately, this, as well as the other opinions</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 116</p> <p>1 A. Once again in some capacity. 2 Q. There is a couple of other entities listed 3 there, Capital Asset Partners. Are you familiar with 4 that entity? 5 A. As I was Equilease. 6 Q. Okay. Was Mr. Malin associated with Capital 7 Asset Partners? 8 A. Well, in some capacities as the party who -- 9 and what I mean by "in some capacity," as the party who 10 may have introduced me, you know, indirectly to these 11 entities. 12 Q. Okay. And then CMA Leasing Associates, are you 13 familiar with that entity? 14 A. The same. 15 Q. Okay. Of these partnerships, did they 16 ultimately -- did they have ultimate -- let me strike 17 that. 18 Were tax-neutral entities the ultimate 19 owners of those partnerships listed there? 20 A. I'm sorry? Say that again. 21 Q. Were tax-neutral entities the ultimate owners 22 in each of these entities listed here? 23 A. I believe no knowledge -- I believe I have no 24 knowledge. 25 Q. CMA Leasing Associates, was that the same CMA</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 115</p> <p>1 that are referred to. Not by itself, but all of them 2 together. 3 Q. Are you familiar with the entity Atrium Finis, 4 F-I-N-I-S? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. What do you know about that entity? 7 A. It was a partnership, a British partnership. 8 Q. And Equilease Leasing? 9 A. I remember that it was -- it was a partnership. 10 I don't know -- it was a partnership. 11 Q. Equilease Leasing Associates is the name of 12 that, right? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Are you familiar with a gentleman by the name 15 of Joel Malin? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Okay. How did you know about Mr. Malin? 18 A. I had known him through the years in connection 19 with certain transactions. 20 Q. Do you associate him with Equilease Leasing 21 Associates? 22 A. In some capacity. 23 Q. And when you talked about the partnership 24 earlier, Autochton Associates, do you associate 25 Mr. Malin with that entity?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 117</p> <p>1 that was in the tax court case involving CMA 2 Consolidated, Incorporated? 3 A. I don't believe I know that. 4 Q. Okay. This opinion, does it describe a lease 5 stripping transaction? 6 A. Have to read it. 7 Q. Okay. Look at the Summary of Transactions. 8 A. If you look at Items A through E, it's 9 effectively very similar to the way I described it 10 earlier. 11 Q. Okay. 12 A. Oh, and just to correct one thing, I guess now 13 reading this I made a mistake earlier. Atrium Finis is 14 a Delaware partnership. I had said it was -- I don't 15 think I said it was somewhere else, different 16 jurisdiction, but it's Delaware. 17 Q. All right. Do you know who the principals of 18 Atrium Finis were? 19 A. When? 20 Q. Back in the time of this opinion, July of '94. 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Who were they? 23 A. Michael Hall and Douglas Mullins. 24 Q. Okay. How about Equilease Leasing? 25 A. I don't believe I recall that.</p>

30 (Pages 114 to 117)

HUNDT REPORTING
214-220-1122

Witness: Howard Teig

Page 118	Page 120
<p>1 Q. Capital Asset Partners?</p> <p>2 A. The same.</p> <p>3 Q. You don't recall?</p> <p>4 A. Correct.</p> <p>5 Q. CMA Leasing Associates?</p> <p>6 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>7 Q. Are you familiar with somebody by the name of</p> <p>8 Joel Klein?</p> <p>9 A. Excuse me?</p> <p>10 Q. Joel Klein?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. What did you know about Joel Klein?</p> <p>13 A. I believe he kept some form of the -- some form</p> <p>14 of records in connection with Equilease, Capital Asset</p> <p>15 and CMA.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. How about Patton Corregan?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. How did you know Mr. Corregan?</p> <p>19 A. He was an associate of Mr. Klein, and</p> <p>20 previously I had known him when he was a lawyer in a law</p> <p>21 firm.</p> <p>22 Q. Was either Mr. Klein or Mr. Corregan associated</p> <p>23 with Mr. Malin, to your knowledge?</p> <p>24 A. Business associates. I don't know anything</p> <p>25 further that than.</p>	<p>1 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) Iowa Tribe of Oklahoma, to your</p> <p>2 knowledge, was it involved in any of the lease-stripping</p> <p>3 transactions?</p> <p>4 A. Which ones?</p> <p>5 Q. Any of the transactions that preceded -- or</p> <p>6 that are referred to, I guess, in this July 25, 1994 --</p> <p>7 A. Not to the best of my knowledge.</p> <p>8 Q. -- opinion. Okay. Are you aware of the court</p> <p>9 case CMA Consolidated versus Commissioner?</p> <p>10 A. No.</p> <p>11 Q. Are you aware that the IRS has challenged</p> <p>12 lease-stripping cases in tax court and in -- in tax</p> <p>13 court?</p> <p>14 A. Not -- no.</p> <p>15 Q. Were you aware that the government in general</p> <p>16 has been successful in challenging lease-stripping cases</p> <p>17 in the Federal courts?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. How are you aware of that?</p> <p>20 A. Hearsay.</p> <p>21 Q. Were you aware of the court decision</p> <p>22 TransCapital Leasing Associates versus United States?</p> <p>23 A. What was the entity again?</p> <p>24 Q. TransCapital Leasing Associates versus United</p> <p>25 States.</p>
Page 119	Page 121
<p>1 Q. Did you know a gentleman by the name of Jim</p> <p>2 Thomas?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. What did you know about Mr. Thomas?</p> <p>5 A. Jim Thomas was -- he is now deceased. He's an</p> <p>6 individual who represented -- represented companies that</p> <p>7 were owned by an Indian tribe.</p> <p>8 Q. Do you recall what tribe that was?</p> <p>9 A. The Iowa Tribe of Oklahoma.</p> <p>10 Q. And how did you become -- how did you -- did</p> <p>11 you know Mr. Thomas?</p> <p>12 A. I've met him several times, spoken to him.</p> <p>13 Q. How did you develop a relationship with him?</p> <p>14 A. I met him in conjunction with several</p> <p>15 transactions.</p> <p>16 MR. LYNCH: Hey, David, does this have</p> <p>17 something to do with this case?</p> <p>18 MR. COFFIN: Yeah.</p> <p>19 MR. LYNCH: What, may I ask, is that?</p> <p>20 MR. COFFIN: Well, these are all</p> <p>21 lease-stripping transactions.</p> <p>22 MR. LYNCH: That preceded --</p> <p>23 MR. COFFIN: Yeah.</p> <p>24 MR. LYNCH: I see. Okay. Go ahead and</p> <p>25 ask.</p>	<p>1 A. I don't know if I was or I am. I can't recall.</p> <p>2 Q. Are you aware of a court case involving</p> <p>3 TransCapital Leasing?</p> <p>4 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>5 Q. Were you aware of a Nicole Rose court case?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. Wasn't that a lease-stripping transaction that</p> <p>8 was challenged in that case?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. Are you aware of the decision in that case?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Was it favorable for the government?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Look at government --</p> <p>15 A. Excuse me one second.</p> <p>16 THE WITNESS: Can I just talk to you</p> <p>17 outside?</p> <p>18 MR. LYNCH: Sure.</p> <p>19 (Recess taken from 2:19 to 2:20.)</p> <p>20 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) Did you want to clarify</p> <p>21 anything?</p> <p>22 A. It's getting cloudy.</p> <p>23 Q. With regard to the amount of the tax basis that</p> <p>24 you said earlier was in between 750 and \$793 million, do</p> <p>25 you recall how many lease-stripping transactions</p>

31 (Pages 118 to 121)

HUNDT REPORTING
214-220-1122

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 122</p> <p>1 resulted in that amount of tax basis?</p> <p>2 A. Not exactly.</p> <p>3 Q. Was it 20? More than 20?</p> <p>4 A. I believe more.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. Would it surprise you if it was 28?</p> <p>6 A. Wouldn't surprise me.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. And look at 256, please.</p> <p>8 A. (Witness complies.)</p> <p>9 Q. Had you seen this tax opinion before?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Was it something you relied on in conjunction</p> <p>12 with the services you performed for K-Pipe?</p> <p>13 A. Yes, in conjunction with the other opinions.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. And I assume associated with your work</p> <p>15 you did with SCALP as well, right?</p> <p>16 A. Correct.</p> <p>17 Q. Same question for 257.</p> <p>18 A. Same answer.</p> <p>19 Q. Let's go through it real quick. Have you seen</p> <p>20 this document before?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. Did you rely on this document in</p> <p>23 conjunction with the services you performed for K-Pipe?</p> <p>24 A. Yes, in conjunction with the other opinions.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. And for the services you performed for</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 124</p> <p>1 Q. How about Paragraph 7 down at the bottom,</p> <p>2 talking about the adjusted basis that SCALP had in the</p> <p>3 SCHC stock?</p> <p>4 A. Can I speak with my counsel for a second?</p> <p>5 Q. Sure.</p> <p>6 (Recess taken from 2:24 to 2:26.)</p> <p>7 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) Was there a question on the</p> <p>8 table?</p> <p>9 A. This Section 7, as well as the other sections</p> <p>10 in this -- on this page are really statements which, you</p> <p>11 know, ultimately at some point or another in some</p> <p>12 transaction I did rely upon, as did other people, and</p> <p>13 ultimately they are relevant to the ultimate facts that</p> <p>14 you've asked me about pertaining to SCALP, et cetera,</p> <p>15 although this is not the opinion itself whereupon the</p> <p>16 discussion came about where SCALP had basis in currency.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay.</p> <p>18 MR. COFFIN: Read back that last part,</p> <p>19 please.</p> <p>20 (The record was read as requested.)</p> <p>21 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) We were talking about 258,</p> <p>22 correct?</p> <p>23 MR. LYNCH: Yes.</p> <p>24 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>25 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) Page 5 of the opinion,</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 123</p> <p>1 SCALP?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Same question for 258, sir. Have you ever seen</p> <p>4 this opinion, tax opinion?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Did you rely on this tax opinion in performing</p> <p>7 services for SCALP and K-Pipe?</p> <p>8 A. I have to go back and read the whole thing, but</p> <p>9 I don't think so.</p> <p>10 Q. You don't think so?</p> <p>11 A. I don't think so because I don't think it was</p> <p>12 on point. I think that the other opinion that's not</p> <p>13 here was more on point, but I would have to go back and</p> <p>14 reread this whole thing. I may be wrong in that</p> <p>15 conclusion without reading the whole thing.</p> <p>16 Q. Look at the Page 13 of that opinion.</p> <p>17 A. (Witness complies.)</p> <p>18 Q. That first paragraph, would you have relied on</p> <p>19 that statement in performing services for K-Pipe or</p> <p>20 SCALP?</p> <p>21 A. Bear with me one quick second.</p> <p>22 Q. Sure.</p> <p>23 A. I can't be sure at this time.</p> <p>24 Q. Are you on the page I'm asking you?</p> <p>25 A. Uh-huh.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 125</p> <p>1 Paragraph 4 references your name; is that correct?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Beginning at Paragraph 1. I'm sorry.</p> <p>4 A. I'm sorry?</p> <p>5 Q. Paragraph 1 it mentions you for the first time,</p> <p>6 I think.</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. Tell me what your role was in the</p> <p>9 reorganization of DWJ&R and SCHC Exchange or you can</p> <p>10 just tell me -- is this an accurate depiction of what</p> <p>11 happened?</p> <p>12 A. It's an accurate depiction of what happened.</p> <p>13 Q. Are you talking about just these Paragraphs 1</p> <p>14 through 6 or all of the facts as listed?</p> <p>15 A. All of the facts as listed. I went through too</p> <p>16 many reading them to note that.</p> <p>17 Q. Page 7, Paragraph 2 mentions Nicole Rose Corp.</p> <p>18 Is that the same Nicole Rose that was involved in the</p> <p>19 court case we mentioned earlier?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. And you prepared the tax returns for the Nicole</p> <p>22 Rose Corporation; is that correct?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. And this opinion was issued after IRS notice</p> <p>25 95-53. Do you recall what that notice is regarding?</p>

32 (Pages 122 to 125)

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 126</p> <p>1 A. Is that the one issued in October 2000 -- 2 October 1993? 3 Q. It's regarding lease-stripping transactions. 4 A. Is that the October -- was it issued 5 October 13, 1993? Because if not, I don't remember the 6 exact reference number to the -- 7 Q. Do you recall an IRS notice dealing with 8 lease-stripping transactions? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. And it came out in 1995, right? 11 A. Was it -- okay. It came out sometime. 12 Q. Was there ever any concern with you that you 13 had relied on tax opinions dealing with lease-stripping 14 transactions, knowing that the IRS was going to come out 15 and challenge those later? 16 A. At the time the transaction was entered into, 17 the notice was not out; and I always view the notice as 18 a prospective action. It was not a retroactive action; 19 and, therefore, I felt that any of the transactions 20 which were historically done, which is the ones which 21 were effectively referred to within this opinion and all 22 the other opinions, and for which were the 28 that you 23 referred to, that those all occurred prior to the 24 issuance of the notice and, therefore, could be relied 25 upon because it was a change of law. Not retroactively,</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 128</p> <p>1 A. I've heard of it. 2 Q. Do you associate Mr. Forster with the Clooneen 3 Trust? 4 A. In at least as a capacity of having told me 5 about it. I'm not trying to imply that he's an officer 6 or director or has any authority over it. 7 Q. I think we had a question on the table before 8 we broke on the last -- for the last break. 9 Did you look at the 2000 return for 10 K-Pipe? 11 A. Oh, I didn't see the adjustment to the 12 Schedule D for that amount. So, I can't recall at this 13 point what might have happened. 14 Q. And that's the \$69 million -- 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. -- of carryover or carryback? 17 A. Right. Well, no -- yes. It's a question of 18 whether there's any adjustment to the carryover 19 carryback. I didn't see any reference in the 2000 20 return for it. 21 Q. Give me one second here. 22 A. Sure. 23 Q. Do you know if K-Pipe ever earned any money 24 from the royalties paid by the Butcher interests? 25 A. I can't recall.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 127</p> <p>1 either. 2 Q. Look at 259, please. Same question. Is this a 3 tax opinion that you reviewed and relied upon in 4 performing services for K-Pipe and SCALP? 5 A. Yes. This is part of the overall other 6 opinions, too. 7 Q. And then look at Government Exhibit 251, 8 please. 9 A. (Witness complies.) Yes. 10 Q. This opinion is dated September 24, 2002. 11 Do you recall ever seeing this opinion? 12 A. I'm not sure I ever saw it. 13 Q. It's dated subsequent to the period that you 14 worked for SCALP and Fortrend, correct? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Would you have any occasion to have reviewed it 17 in any event? 18 A. I -- I don't know. 19 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with an entity known as 20 CIS Credit Corporation? 21 A. I've heard of it. 22 Q. Do you know who the principals or management 23 may be of that company? 24 A. No. Or if I did, I don't recall now. 25 Q. How about the Clooneen, C-L-O-O-N-E-E-N, Trust?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 129</p> <p>1 MR. COFFIN: Okay. I'll pass the witness. 2 MR. LYNCH: He's going to ask you 3 questions. That's what that means. 4 EXAMINATION 5 Q. (BY MR. STERN) I just want to make sure I 6 understand what your role was in connection with the 7 transaction that's at issue in this proceeding. Do you 8 understand what transactions are at issue in this 9 proceeding? 10 A. Just to be sure, could you explain? 11 Q. Well, as I understand it, it's K-Pipe's 12 purchase of stock -- Bishop Group stock from Dennis 13 Langley, and then K-Pipe's sale of assets to Midcoast. 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. Do you understand that? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. And what was your role in that transaction 18 before it closed? Those transactions. Excuse me. 19 A. I believe the only role I had was, as I've 20 expressed before, where in anticipation of the closing, 21 Mr. Hoffman would have told me that we wanted assets 22 with certain attributes. I would have looked at SCALP's 23 inventory thinking about that. I may have, although I 24 can't be sure, read documents associated with the 25 transactions.</p>

33 (Pages 126 to 129)

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 130</p> <p>1 Q. Before the transactions closed, did you have 2 any contact with anyone representing Midcoast, to your 3 knowledge? 4 A. To my knowledge, no. 5 Q. All right. After the transactions closed, what 6 was your role? How would you describe it? 7 A. My role was, A, to provide the information to 8 E&Y necessary for them to prepare the tax returns; and 9 in addition, from all the documents that I've seen, both 10 in what you provided to me, as well as by the 11 government, it was also to be involved in the process of 12 a true-up that was referred to earlier. 13 Q. Anything else? 14 A. Subsequent year tax returns, you know, 2000 or 15 so later. Also 1999 tax return for SCALP. There might 16 have been odds and ends, but those are the primary 17 things. 18 Q. Other than providing information that it may 19 have ended up with as a result of the transactions, did 20 Midcoast have any role in the preparation of the tax 21 returns for K-Pipe for 1999 or 2000 or 2001? 22 A. I'm sorry. Could you please repeat that? 23 Q. Let me ask it a different way. You had 24 mentioned that you may have had some contact with 25 Midcoast because Midcoast ended up with operating</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 132</p> <p>1 Q. And who paid Ernst & Young? Who was supposed 2 to pay Ernst & Young for that work? 3 A. I can't recall that. 4 Q. Was it either K-Pipe or Mr. Langley? 5 A. Presumably, but I can't recall. 6 Q. And on the true-up, there was a true-up -- it 7 was a working capital true-up? 8 A. Yes, sir. 9 Q. What is "working capital"? 10 A. "Working capital" is usually defined as current 11 assets minus current liabilities. Current assets will 12 be effectively items of cash or cash equivalents or 13 items that can be anticipated to be turned into cash 14 within 12 months, and liabilities that occurred are 15 things which are expected to be paid within a 12-month 16 period. 17 Q. And as I understand it, at the closing of a 18 stock sale, there is an estimate of what working capital 19 is at the time of the sale? 20 A. Correct. 21 Q. And, then, as -- as events unfold, that 22 estimate may be higher or may be lower or may be exactly 23 right? 24 A. Correct. 25 Q. And the true-up is to adjust whatever was --</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 131</p> <p>1 assets; and, as I understand it, you may have required 2 some records related to those assets in preparation of 3 the tax returns. 4 A. Correct. 5 Q. And other than the possibility that you had 6 that type of contact with Midcoast, can you think of any 7 other contact you would have had with Midcoast in 8 connection with the preparation of the returns for 9 K-Pipe? 10 A. No. Other than the effect of the true-up. 11 Q. And where did -- where did E&Y come from? 12 A. It was in the contract in the stock purchase 13 contract where K-Pipe acquired Bishop from Mr. Langley. 14 There was reference in there that -- I'm not sure 15 whether E&Y specifically was identified or Mr. Langley's 16 or the sellers' accountant who prepared the returns. 17 So, it was part of the negotiation in the acquisition of 18 the stock of -- of Bishop by K-Pipe. 19 Q. Just so I understand, K-Pipe acquired the stock 20 in Bishop Group? 21 A. Correct. 22 Q. And as part of that transaction, K-Pipe was 23 required to have Ernst & Young or Mr. Langley's 24 accountants prepare the tax returns for the full year? 25 A. For 1999, yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 133</p> <p>1 adjust from the estimate to reality? 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. And there was a similar adjustment that's made 4 after an asset sale? 5 A. It was -- seemed to be provided in this. 6 Q. All right. And what was your -- what was your 7 role in connection with the -- the true-up between 8 Mr. Langley and K-Pipe? 9 A. Well, based upon my recollection mostly, which 10 is based upon these documents that I have looked at 11 again, is someone identified me as the party to contact 12 and someone provided me with the information schedules 13 or whatever, both that which was provided at the time of 14 the closing, as well as when the actual computations as 15 you referred to it, were made. And, so, I communicated 16 with those representatives of, let's say Mr. Langley, in 17 the case of the stock purchase to review that. 18 Q. All right. And reached a determination of what 19 was -- what was owed to which party? 20 A. Correct, either up or down, as you say. 21 Q. Yeah. And the same process -- you were 22 involved in the same process between K-Pipe and 23 Midcoast? 24 A. Apparently. Once again, based upon this. 25 Q. Okay. I just want to go through some of these</p>

34 (Pages 130 to 133)

HUNDT REPORTING
214-220-1122

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 134</p> <p>1 documents, and I'm afraid -- some of them may already 2 been marked as government exhibits. So, let me just 3 make sure. 4 MR. STERN: Let me mark this as Teig 5 Exhibit 1. 6 (Teig Exhibit No. 1 marked) 7 Q. (BY MR. STERN) Can you identify Teig Exhibit 1 8 as a memo from you to Cynthia Morelli dated August 17, 9 2000? 10 A. I'm not sure of your question. I see it. 11 Q. Is this a memo that you wrote to Ms. Morelli 12 dated August 17, 2000? 13 A. I would -- I would think I did. 14 Q. All right. In the memo you wrote "attached are 15 the two true-up computations I received. As you can 16 see, both appear to have a balance due. To the stock 17 sellers, \$108,435 is due, while \$144,443 is due to the 18 asset buyers." Do you see that? 19 A. Yes, sir. 20 Q. And then the second page of Exhibit 1 to your 21 deposition is -- what is that? Is that the asset 22 schedule? 23 A. Yes. That's the label at the top. 24 Q. Okay. It shows 144,000 that's referenced in 25 your memo?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 136</p> <p>1 to Midcoast but were still, you know, retained and, 2 therefore, were part of the computation as between 3 Langley and K-Pipe. 4 Q. And if these were perfect back-to-back 5 transactions, wouldn't you expect the amount -- 6 A. You would expect it to be -- if it was perfect 7 back-to-back transactions, you would expect that 8 whatever you owed to one side or the other would be a 9 receivable from the other side. 10 Q. Do you have Government Exhibit 326? 11 MR. LYNCH: Yes, we do. Government 12 Exhibit 326 is in there, yes. 13 Q. (BY MR. STERN) Can you identify -- you've 14 already discussed this exhibit, Government Exhibit 326 15 with Mr. Coffin, but the top you have an e-mail from 16 Cynthia Morelli to you dated August 18, 2000. 17 Do you see that? 18 A. Yes, I see it. 19 Q. And it talks about true-up, right? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. And there's apparently some issue about 22 \$44,000? 23 A. Can I take a moment? 24 Q. Yeah, take a moment and read it. 25 A. (Witness reviews document.)</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 135</p> <p>1 A. Correct. 2 Q. And I take it that the rest of the schedule is 3 showing how that 144,000 is calculated? 4 A. Yes, I would believe so. 5 Q. All right. And the next page, final page of 6 the exhibit, relates to the stock sale? 7 A. Correct. 8 Q. And you see down at the bottom the amount owed 9 by K-Pipe to Langley as being \$108,435? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. So, according to your memorandum and these 12 schedules, K-Pipe was in a position of owing money to 13 both the party it bought stock from and the party it 14 sold assets to? 15 A. Correct. 16 Q. Do you know why, if the true-up is to adjust 17 from estimates to what actually occurs, why K-Pipe would 18 be owing money on both sides? 19 A. Without looking at the details, do you want me 20 to answer in general? 21 Q. Can you just think of reasons where that might 22 be the case? 23 A. Different items -- different items of assets 24 and liabilities that would be included. For example, 25 maybe there was some corporate assets that were not sold</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 137</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. I would like to focus on -- apparently 2 there was some issue about \$44,000? 3 A. Reading this, yes. 4 Q. Can you tell what that was? 5 A. Not exactly. I mean, it appears to relate to 6 interest, and it appears to address the -- the item that 7 you were talking about before about having a perfect 8 back-to-back versus not having a perfect back-to-back. 9 There is some ambiguity here as to what was actually 10 going on. That was my interpretation of what I just 11 read. 12 Q. Okay. Let's look at -- let's mark this as Teig 13 Exhibit 2. 14 (Teig Exhibit No. 2 marked) 15 Q. (BY MR. STERN) Can you identify Teig Exhibit 2 16 as a letter from Alice Dill to Midcoast Energy Resources 17 dated September 8, 2000, and another letter from Ernst & 18 Young to Alice Dill the same date? 19 A. I see both, yes. 20 Q. And there's a fax cover sheet from Ms. Dill to 21 you? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Who is Alice Dill? 24 A. I identified her before. She was a paralegal 25 who worked for Fortrend.</p>

35 (Pages 134 to 137)

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 138</p> <p>1 Q. And the letter to Midcoast is to Richard 2 Robert; and Ms. Dill wrote: "Our accountant has advised 3 us that your firm is due \$144,443. Our records reflect 4 that there is an offset amount due us of \$42,428." 5 Do you see that? 6 A. Yes, sir. 7 Q. Now, do you think you're the accountant she's 8 referring to? 9 A. I believe so from the other correspondence that 10 we've seen. 11 Q. And the \$42,428 offset, do you know what that 12 is? 13 A. At this point I certainly don't recall. I 14 don't know now whether I've ever known. 15 Q. Okay. The final sentence says "As such, 16 enclosed please find our check in the amount of \$102,015 17 representing the difference." Do you see that? 18 A. Yes, sir. 19 Q. And do you have any reason to believe that that 20 payment was not made to Midcoast? 21 A. I have no reason. 22 Q. Do you know whether a payment was ever made to 23 Dennis Langley on the \$108,000 that was owed to him? 24 A. I currently have no recollection. Don't know 25 if I ever had knowledge.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 140</p> <p>1 Young? 2 A. Well, based upon this letter, I think it's safe 3 to assume that K-Pipe paid. 4 Q. So, K-Pipe paid Dennis Langley's accounting 5 firm to prepare the K-Pipe return? 6 A. Yes, based upon this letter. 7 Q. Okay. That's consistent with your memory, 8 isn't it, after being refreshed by all these documents? 9 A. Yes; yes. 10 MR. STERN: Let's mark this as Teig 11 Exhibit 3. 12 (Teig Exhibit No. 3 marked) 13 Q. (BY MR. STERN) Is this an e-mail from Fred 14 Forster to you dated September 10, 2000? 15 A. I presume it was based upon the way it's 16 identified at the top. 17 Q. And Mr. Forster wrote "If we are indeed 18 obligated to pay Midcoast \$100,000, then we must agree 19 to pay it. My suggestion is that it gets paid out of 20 the \$400,000 tax refund. Remember K-Pipe has been sold 21 by SCALP and has no money until K-Pipe gets the tax 22 refund." Do you see that? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Do you know what that tax refund is? 25 A. I recall from somewhere in all of this stuff</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 139</p> <p>1 Q. Do you know whether Midcoast ever disputed the 2 offset? 3 A. Same answer. I don't -- I certainly don't 4 recall now and don't know if I ever knew. 5 Q. And in the final page there's a check of 6 \$40,000 to Mr. Snyder that's referenced in the letter, 7 right? 8 A. Well, not to Mr. Snyder. To his attention. 9 Q. To his attention. It's payable to Ernst & 10 Young? 11 A. Yes, I would believe so. I would presume so 12 from the letter. 13 Q. Okay. Do you know what that was for? 14 A. Sure. It was referred to in one of the 15 e-mails. It was their accounting fee. They indicated 16 they refused to issue the tax returns for 1999 until 17 they received their payment both of 40,000 and the 18 subsequent invoice that they were about to be rendered. 19 This appears to be payment of that first \$40,000 that 20 was mentioned that had been outstanding for some time. 21 Q. And presumably the second invoice was paid 22 since they issued the tax returns? 23 A. Correct. 24 MR. COFFIN: Objection, leading. 25 Q. (BY MR. STERN) And who was paying Ernst &</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 141</p> <p>1 that there were a couple of tax refunds expected to be 2 coming in from federal and state governments. 3 Q. And those would have been coming in to K-Pipe? 4 A. Yes, or -- should be because they were the tax 5 return preparer. And, in fact, if you go to the 1999 6 return prepared by E&Y provided for the Government's 7 Exhibit 254. 8 MR. COFFIN: That's correct. 9 A. It shows there to be an overpayment of 974-plus 10 thousand dollars. So, I can only presume that 11 Mr. Forster is referring to that refund. 12 Q. (BY MR. STERN) And do you know whether that 13 refund was used to pay the \$100,000 that was owed to 14 MidCoast? 15 A. I currently certainly have no recollection, and 16 I don't know if I ever had any knowledge of that. 17 Q. Just based on this format, do you know what 18 that number after the break in the page after 19 Mr. Forster's e-mail it says "Howard Teig wrote" or 20 "Howard Teig wrote"? Do you know what that is? Have 21 you ever seen that before? Is that something 22 Mr. Forster wrote or is that something that -- 23 A. I would guess is that he might have cut and 24 pasted something that may be in another e-mail. I don't 25 know.</p>

36 (Pages 138 to 141)

HUNDT REPORTING
214-220-1122

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 142</p> <p>1 Q. I see. Thank you.</p> <p>2 A. But that's a guess. Because it doesn't make</p> <p>3 reference to e-mail addresses.</p> <p>4 MR. COFFIN: A lot of times when you reply</p> <p>5 to an e-mail, it puts that down there for you.</p> <p>6 THE WITNESS: No, but usually it would</p> <p>7 still say a reference to an e-mail address. And there's</p> <p>8 no reference to an e-mail address. It just simply says</p> <p>9 I wrote.</p> <p>10 MR. STERN: All right. Where are we?</p> <p>11 No. 4?</p> <p>12 (Teig Exhibit No. 4 marked)</p> <p>13 Q. (BY MR. STERN) I hand you what's been marked</p> <p>14 Teig Exhibit 4. This is a memo that you wrote to</p> <p>15 Mr. Forster dated August 15, 2000.</p> <p>16 A. Uh-huh.</p> <p>17 Q. Is that a "yes"?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. And in it you set forth the status of the</p> <p>20 true-up?</p> <p>21 A. Correct.</p> <p>22 Q. And, again, it notes "The computations show</p> <p>23 that Midcoast Energy as the buyer is entitled to a</p> <p>24 refund of \$144,443, while the stock sellers" -- would</p> <p>25 that be Langley?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 144</p> <p>1 MR. COFFIN: -- and Ms. Fox? Yes.</p> <p>2 MR. STERN: Let's take a real quick break.</p> <p>3 I may be done.</p> <p>4 MR. COFFIN: I may have four questions.</p> <p>5 MR. LYNCH: All right.</p> <p>6 (Recess taken from 3:01 to 3:02.)</p> <p>7 Q. (BY MR. STERN) Mr. Coffin asked you about</p> <p>8 various transactions that generated losses within</p> <p>9 K-Pipe?</p> <p>10 A. I'm not sure I recall.</p> <p>11 Q. Well, maybe I'm not saying it right. I'm not a</p> <p>12 tax person. But there was -- there were losses that</p> <p>13 were in K-Pipe that offset the gain from the</p> <p>14 transactions at issue in this proceeding?</p> <p>15 A. I would presume you're referring to the item in</p> <p>16 this 233 memo?</p> <p>17 MR. LYNCH: Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. (BY MR. STERN) Yes.</p> <p>19 A. Okay.</p> <p>20 Q. Did you ever discuss those with anyone at</p> <p>21 Midcoast?</p> <p>22 A. I would have -- no.</p> <p>23 MR. STERN: Pass the witness.</p> <p>24 FURTHER EXAMINATION</p> <p>25 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) Okay. With regard to Teig</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 143</p> <p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Are entitled to 108,435, right?</p> <p>3 A. Correct.</p> <p>4 Q. "The asset buyers are very anxious for their</p> <p>5 money." Apparently you had been in communication with</p> <p>6 the asset buyers?</p> <p>7 A. We can presume such.</p> <p>8 Q. "The amount due to the stock sellers will be</p> <p>9 reduced by the tax cost, if any, associated with the</p> <p>10 1999 tax returns." Do you know what that means?</p> <p>11 A. I can't recall at this time. Oh, I'm sorry.</p> <p>12 Just to go back. What that would mean is that if there</p> <p>13 was a tax --</p> <p>14 MR. LYNCH: It would have reduced the</p> <p>15 amount.</p> <p>16 A. If there was a tax liability owed on the 1999</p> <p>17 tax returns that K-Pipe had to pay, it would have gone</p> <p>18 as a further adjustment to the 108,000.</p> <p>19 MR. STERN: Bear with me a minute. David,</p> <p>20 will you stipulate to the admissibility of 233?</p> <p>21 MR. COFFIN: What is 233?</p> <p>22 MR. STERN: It's Government 233.</p> <p>23 MR. COFFIN: Yes. This is the memo</p> <p>24 between Mr. Teig and Mr. Lacy --</p> <p>25 MR. STERN: Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 145</p> <p>1 No. 1, Mr. Teig, did you prepare the schedules attached</p> <p>2 to the fax cover sheet?</p> <p>3 A. No.</p> <p>4 Q. Do you know who prepared those?</p> <p>5 A. No -- well, no. At least today, I can't</p> <p>6 recall. I'm not sure if I knew who exactly prepared</p> <p>7 them back then.</p> <p>8 Q. Did you go through and -- did you go through</p> <p>9 and, I want to say audit, but verify the numbers on --</p> <p>10 on the schedules?</p> <p>11 A. I can only believe I must have.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. And Teig 2 is dated September of 2000.</p> <p>13 Were you still working for Fortrend in September of</p> <p>14 2000?</p> <p>15 A. I know earlier before I said that I stopped</p> <p>16 doing work in the summer of 2000 for Fortrend. One</p> <p>17 would -- one would think if I was correct in that</p> <p>18 statement that I was fuzzy on -- on this exercise. You</p> <p>19 know, I certainly would have continued doing some work</p> <p>20 for Fortrend, you know, in -- in 2000 and given the fact</p> <p>21 that it appears I paid -- I did the 2000 tax return,</p> <p>22 which obviously was done -- it was completed</p> <p>23 September 14th by me in that year tells me I did some</p> <p>24 work, but I -- I think what I was referring to earlier</p> <p>25 is the work that I did that, when I stopped doing work</p>

37 (Pages 142 to 145)

HUNDT REPORTING
214-220-1122

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 146</p> <p>1 for Fortrend, probably I'm now presuming is now new 2 work, new transactions, and that if I had gotten paid on 3 something like K-Pipe, that I did the tax returns and I 4 continued my services for some time. So, I would 5 presume at the -- at September 2000 that I must have 6 still been doing something certainly to be able to have 7 prepared -- to have provided and have dialogue with E&Y 8 towards the preparation of the '99 return, but I believe 9 that I stopped all new services sometime in mid-summer 10 2000. 11 Q. Teig 3, there's a statement in there by 12 Mr. Forster to you that "Remember K-Pipe has been sold 13 by SCALP and has no money." Do you recall the 14 circumstances surrounding the sale of K-Pipe by SCALP? 15 A. What do you mean "the circumstances 16 surrounding"? 17 Q. Do you remember who the buyer was? 18 A. Can I refer -- well, if I can refer to a 19 document -- 20 Q. Sure. 21 A. -- that was provided to me, it was in the year 22 2000 tax return. I'll just pull out the page -- 23 Q. Can we mark that as Teig 5? 24 MR. STERN: Let's do that. Teig 5, 25 please.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 148</p> <p>1 A. Correct. I mentioned that I already had not -- 2 I could not find it on this return. 3 Q. Where would it appear on the return, had it 4 been on here? 5 A. Well, it should have shown up on Schedule D. 6 There's a line that says unused -- there's a line under 7 both short and long-term section that says "Unused 8 Carryovers." No, I guess it's just in the short-term 9 section. 10 MR. LYNCH: Well, it shows unused 11 carryovers of zero. 12 A. It shows unused carryovers of zero. 13 MR. LYNCH: So, it's not that they 14 overstated -- the return doesn't overstate the unused 15 carryovers. 16 A. It certainly doesn't overstate it. It just 17 doesn't show any. 18 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) So, can we conclude there was 19 no adjustment made? 20 A. No, you can't include such. 21 Q. Okay. 22 A. Because as you recall, it was a notional 23 adjustment only as a result of the no upward or downward 24 adjustment of ultimate tax liability, and, therefore, it 25 being a notional adjustment, which is why E&Y wanted to</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 147</p> <p>1 (Teig Exhibit No. 5 marked) 2 A. I'll show it to you when I find it. On Page 9, 3 statement No. 2, it shows that the company is owned by 4 Baguette. 5 Q. Okay. It's Baguette Holdings LLC; is that 6 right? 7 A. Yeah, that's what it says there. 8 Q. Okay. Do you know who the principals of 9 Baguette Holdings LLC? 10 A. My recollection is Alice Dill. 11 Q. Do you know why SCALP sold K-Pipe? 12 A. At this time I can't recall. I'm not sure I 13 knew; but certainly at this time, I can't recall. 14 Q. Subsequent to K-Pipe's purchase of stock and 15 sale of assets to Midcoast, do you recall any activities 16 that K-Pipe engaged in for an economic profit? 17 A. I can't recall what transactions it did, 18 period. So, I can't recall whether they were for 19 economic profit or merely collection of assets that were 20 on hand. I just can't recall its activities. 21 Q. Can you show me on Teig 5, which is the 2000 22 return, where we talked about earlier, the \$69 million 23 of carryover or carryback. 24 A. I already mentioned to you -- 25 Q. It was not on there, correct?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 149</p> <p>1 make a CYA letter. It provided no effect to anything, 2 no gain or loss. 3 MR. LYNCH: In other words, E&Y -- just to 4 be clear about this -- E&Y saw that the capital losses 5 were overstated by 69 million or whatever it was in '99. 6 They decided -- the parties decided they were not going 7 to change the returns because it didn't affect the tax 8 liability in '99 at all, and what E&Y wanted to make 9 sure of was that they got a certification that the 10 capital losses that shouldn't properly have been 11 reported on the '99 return were not used in the 2000 or 12 subsequent return. And what this thing shows is a 13 capital loss -- it doesn't show any capital loss 14 carryover. So, obviously it's consistent with the fact 15 that nobody was trying to use the capital losses that 16 had been incorrectly reported on the '99 return. 17 A. Right. And actually there's one further point. 18 If you recall, there was an e-mail that we discussed 19 that said Mr. Forster said that he would not use the 20 capital losses either as a carryback or carryover. So, 21 possibly -- and I can only say possibly -- that maybe 22 this was an intentional exclusion of the \$21 million 23 capital loss carryover. 24 Q. (BY MR. COFFIN) The 21 million, which would 25 have been the correct amount?</p>

38 (Pages 146 to 149)

Witness: Howard Teig

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 150</p> <p>1 A. Correct. And, you know, as I know, the 2 government generally doesn't object if you choose not to 3 claim a deduction. 4 MR. STERN: You haven't been around these 5 guys. 6 MR. COFFIN: Okay. No further questions. 7 MR. STERN: Nothing further from me. 8 (Proceedings concluded at 3:10 p.m.) 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 152</p> <p>1 I, HOWARD TEIG, have read the foregoing deposition 2 and hereby affix my signature that same is true and 3 correct, except as noted above. 4 5 6 7 THE STATE OF _____ 8 COUNTY OF _____ 9 10 Before me, _____, on this day 11 personally appeared HOWARD TEIG, known to me or proved 12 to me on the oath of _____ or through 13 _____ (description of identity card 14 or other document) to be the person whose name is 15 subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged 16 to me that he/she executed the same for the purpose and 17 consideration therein expressed. 18 Given under my hand and seal of office on this _____ 19 day of _____, _____. 20 21 22 NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR 23 THE STATE OF _____ 24 My Commission Expires: _____ 25</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 151</p> <p>1 CHANGES AND SIGNATURE 2 WITNESS NAME: Howard Teig 3 DATE OF DEPOSITION: May 25, 2007 4 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 153</p> <p>1 STATE OF TEXAS 2 COUNTY OF HARRIS 3 4 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 5 ORAL DEPOSITION OF HOWARD TEIG 6 May 25, 2007 7 8 I, the undersigned Certified Shorthand Reporter in 9 and for the State of Texas, certify that the facts 10 stated in the foregoing pages are true and correct. 11 I further certify that I am neither attorney or 12 counsel for, related to, nor employed by any parties to 13 the action in which this testimony is taken and, 14 further, that I am not a relative or employee of any 15 counsel employed by the parties hereto or financially 16 interested in the action. 17 SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO under my hand and seal of 18 office on this the _____ day of _____, 19 _____. 20 21 Kelly Hanna, CSR, RPR, CRR, CMRS 22 Texas CSR 1654 23 Expiration: 12/31/2007 24 Firm Registration No.: 347 25 703 McKinney Avenue Suite 207 Dallas, Texas 75202 214.220.1122 - 214.220.1127</p>

39 (Pages 150 to 153)

HUNDT REPORTING
214-220-1122